

Queiros J (Joao)

From: Holland G (Gayle)
Sent: 17 June 2014 16:06
To: Weir S (Sarah) (MARLAB)
Cc: Morley L (Laura) (MARLAB); Main RAK (Robert)
Subject: FW: Displacement rates for ICOL

For Inch cape file

From: John Uttley [mailto:John.Uttley@snh.gov.uk]
Sent: 17 June 2014 15:30
To: Holland G (Gayle); Tait A (Adrian) (MARLAB); Wilson J (Jared)
Cc: Karen.Hall@jncc.gov.uk; Sue.O'Brien@jncc.gov.uk; Glen Tyler; Catriona Gall; Lisa.Chilton@jncc.gov.uk; Alex Robbins
Subject: Displacement rates for ICOL

Dear Gayle

Following our advice to you last week on displacement rate for kittiwake at Seagreen you asked for advice on the same point for ICOL. We have now considered this.

Seagreen really stands out for its low turbine density and we believe that it is a special case in the current context. The turbine density for ICOL is nearly twice that of Seagreen, and much closer to that at Neart an Gaoithe. We therefore continue to advise that the displacement rate for kittiwake at Inch Cape should be 40%. We do not believe there is sufficient evidence to fine tune the displacement rate in response to such small variations in turbine density and spacing.

We also wish to clarify our advice on Seagreen. We understand that Seagreen may use an 'internal' buffer for their final layout and as the basis for estimating displacement and barrier effects. If the actual density of turbines increases as a result we would like to reconsider the displacement rate. Of course if the density remains substantially below that of the other proposed wind farms we would be likely to maintain our advice that 30% is a suitable rate to use. We would also like to see the actual modelled displacement impacts at 30% so that we can revise our cumulative assessment.

Regards

J

John Uttley | Principal Adviser | Planning & Renewables Unit | Scottish Natural Heritage | www.snh.gov.uk | 01595 693345 | 07876447403 (this is the best number for me today)

From: Gayle.Holland@scotland.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:Gayle.Holland@scotland.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 June 2014 10:55
To: John Uttley; Adrian.Tait@scotland.gsi.gov.uk; Jared.Wilson@scotland.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: RE: Displacement rates for Seagreen
Importance: High

Hi John,

Thanks for your response in relation to Seagreen, will you be able to provide advice on this in relation to ICOL?

Thanks
Gayle

From: John Uttley [<mailto:John.Uttley@snh.gov.uk>]
Sent: 10 June 2014 17:21
To: Tait A (Adrian) (MARLAB); Holland G (Gayle); Wilson J (Jared)
Subject: Displacement rates for Seagreen

Hi Gayle

On 5th June you asked:

'Please could you advise on the SNCB view on a reduction in displacement rates (40% kittiwake, 60% others) for sites with greater turbine spacing, and what displacement rates would be most appropriate? The question relates to Seagreen but also ICOL.'

This is joint advice from SNH and JNCC.

We gave advice to MS-LOT on 19 December 2013 with regard to puffin displacement and reduced turbine densities for the MORL and BOWL developments (attached). In summary we said:

- We would support an adjustment in numbers of displaced birds to account for a smaller wind farm footprint.
- We do not support a mechanistic approach to adjusting displacement rates to account for increases in turbine spacing.
- We originally advised a displacement rate for auk species as a range between 50-100% (JNCC / SNH request for preliminary analysis, 26 August 2011). We note that the lower limit of the range (50%) may be appropriate when considering wind farms with a wider turbine spacing.

We also said that:

- We are reviewing the assumption that one bird being displaced would result in one breeding unit failing to breed (i.e only one member of a pair is ever displaced). It may be possible to address this assumption via a probabilistic calculation. [Sue O'Brien and Finlay Bennet explored this but found there was little scope for progress]
- It may be possible to revise the assumption of 100% breeding failure by extracting further information from the displacement modelling project (Searle et al 2013). For example, if it was possible to calculate the proportion of birds that fail to breed or die from the number of birds that were either displaced or that had a barrier effect – this could be applied to the number of birds predicted to be displaced from the Moray Firth wind farms. [This would require further work by CEH for MSS]
- In respect of puffin, if it were possible to map densities across the wind farm sites (e.g. using kernel density estimation of boat survey data) then excluding development in areas of higher puffin density would result in disproportionately fewer birds being displaced. [This remains the most certain way to avoid or reduce displacement effects]

We have reviewed the information available, focussing on mean turbine density and minimum turbine spacing (attached). These appear to be the most relevant parameters and are also available for all the relevant scenarios for Seagreen, ICOL and also NnG, MORL and BOWL.

In summary:

- Optimised Seagreen A/B are less than half as dense as final MORL & BOWL scenarios
- ICOL MLS is less dense than MORL but still nearly twice as dense as Seagreen
- (Min) turbine spacing is similar for optimised Seagreen, MORL, and ICOL

In terms of knowledge and understanding of how seabirds respond to offshore wind turbines the situation remains as it did in December when we gave the advice above. We still have reservations about calculating exclusion radii around turbines and modifying displacement rates to calibrate effects between developments. As a result, and in the context of an appropriate assessment, we offer the same advice as we did in December 2013, but extending the principle to kittiwake, which is the key species of interest here. Given the very low turbine density of Seagreen,

compared with other developments in the UK, and elsewhere in Europe, there is some logic in applying a lower displacement rate on the assumption that a less dense wind farm is more 'permeable'. Whereas the original range of displacement rates suggested for auks was 50-100%, and we advised that it may be appropriate to adopt a displacement rate at the bottom of this range, the original range of displacement rates for kittiwake was 0-50%, and the CEH model eventually adopted a rate of 40%. We do not think it would be safe to go right down to 0% displacement nor do Seagreen suggest this, but we advise that it may be appropriate to use a lower rate than 40%. In this particular case we are able to support a displacement rate of 30% for Seagreen, based on the very low turbine densities in Seagreen's proposal. We haven't considered the case for ICOL.

With regard to the applicability of these displacement rates to other wind farm developments (both current and future), our future advice on other projects will be based on up to date knowledge so may differ from this.

Finally, we would like to encourage research and monitoring at Scottish wind farms to gather empirical evidence to support future assessments. For example, appropriate baseline and post construction survey could test the validity of exclusion radii, leading to improved wind farm layout and reduced consenting risk. For consented proposals, further discussion should be facilitated by the Regional Advisory Group in relation to project environmental monitoring programmes (see draft conditions for Neart na Gaoithe). We would welcome an early meeting with Marine Scotland Science to discuss and agree priorities for research and monitoring in relation to this and other issues.

Regards
John

John Uttley | Principal Adviser | Planning & Renewables Unit | Scottish Natural Heritage | www.snh.gov.uk | 01595 693345 | 07876477403

Scotland welcomes the world in the **Year of Homecoming Scotland 2014!**

The year-long programme of events will celebrate the very best of Scotland's food and drink, active and natural resources as well as our creativity, culture and ancestral heritage.

homecomingscotland.com

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager or the sender.

Please note that for business purposes, outgoing and incoming emails from and to SNH may be monitored.

Tha am post-dealain seo agus fiosrachadh sam bith na chois dìomhair agus airson an neach no buidheann ainmichte a-mhàin. Mas e gun d' fhuair sibh am post-dealain seo le mearachd, cuiribh fios dhan

manaidsear-siostaim no neach-sgrìobhaidh.

Thoiribh an aire airson adhbharan gnòthaich, 's dòcha gun tèid sùil a chumail air puist-dealain a' tighinn

a-steach agus a' dol a-mach bho SNH.

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email has been received from an external party and
has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Government.

Tha am post-d seo (agus faidhle neo ceanglan còmhla ris) dhan neach neo luchd-ainmichte a-mhàin. Chan eil e ceadaichte a chleachdadh ann an dòigh sam bith, a' toirt a-steach còraichean, foillseachadh neo sgaoileadh, gun chead. Ma 's e is gun d'fhuair sibh seo le gun fhiosd', bu choir cur às dhan phost-d agus lethbhreac sam bith air an t-siostam agaibh, leig fios chun neach a sgaoil am post-d gun dàil.

Dh'fhaodadh gum bi teachdaireachd sam bith bho Riaghaltas na h-Alba air a chlàradh neo air a sgrùdadh airson dearbhadh gu bheil an siostam ag obair gu h-èifeachdach neo airson adhbhar laghail eile. Dh'fhaodadh nach eil beachdan anns a' phost-d seo co-ionann ri beachdan Riaghaltas na h-Alba.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Scotland welcomes the world in the **Year of Homecoming Scotland 2014!**

The year-long programme of events will celebrate the very best of Scotland's food and drink, active and natural resources as well as our creativity, culture and ancestral heritage.

homecomingscotland.com

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager or the sender.

Please note that for business purposes, outgoing and incoming emails from and to SNH may be monitored.

Tha am post-dealain seo agus fiosrachadh sam bith na chois dìomhair agus airson an neach no buidheann ainmichte a-mhàin. Mas e gun d' fhuair sibh am post-dealain seo le mearachd, cuiribh fios dhan manaidsear-siostaim no neach-sgrìobhaidh.

Thoiribh an aire airson adhbharan gnòthaich, 's dòcha gun tèid sùil a chumail air puist-dealain a' tighinn a-steach agus a' dol a-mach bho SNH.

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSI may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email has been received from an external party and has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.
