# Queiros J (Joao)

From: Kafas A (Andronikos)
Sent: 03 July 2014 16:55
To: Ford A (Alexander)

Cc: Stainer P (Paul) (MARLAB)

**Subject:** RE: 002/TIDE/SPR - 2: MS LOT to MSS: ScottishPower Renewables: Sound of Islay

Tidal Demonstration Array: ES Consultation: 26 May 2014

Attachments: Sound of Islay Demonstration Tidal Array Supplementary Environmental

Information - Kafas A - 03072014.docx

Dear Ali,

Please find my comments attached.

Kind regards, Andronikos

## Andronikos Kafas MSc AIEMA

Marine Renewable Energy Scientist
Offshore Energy Environmental Advice Group
Marine Scotland – Science, Scottish Government
Room A-98 | Marine Scotland | Marine Laboratory | 375 Victoria Road | Aberdeen | AB11 9DB

Tel: +44 (0)1224 295347 S/B: +44 (0)1224 876544 Fax: +44 (0)1224 295511

e: Andronikos.Kafas@scotland.gsi.gov.uk or a.kafas@marlab.ac.uk

w: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland

From: Ford A (Alexander) Sent: 26 May 2014 12:04

To: MS Renewables

Cc: Stainer P (Paul) (MARLAB); Robertson M (Mike) (MARLAB); Kafas A (Andronikos); Brookes K (Kate); Wilson J

(Jared); Bennet F (Finlay); Gardiner R (Ross) (MARLAB); MS FFPlanning; Hall C (Chris); Anson S (Sam)

Subject: 002/TIDE/SPR - 2: MS LOT to MSS: ScottishPower Renewables: Sound of Islay Tidal Demonstration Array:

ES Consultation: 26 May 2014

Paul,

Please see attached letter for a consultation on the ScottishPower Renewables: Sound of Islay Tidal Demonstration Array.

<< File: Sound of Islay Initial Consultation Letter.pdf >> The documents can be found on the g:drive at:

G:\Renewables\Environmental\_Statements\Tidal\SPR Sound of Islay\2014 Application\Environmental Statement

The cover letter and marine licence application are below:

<< File: 2014\_S36 and Marine Licence Application Cover Letter.pdf >> << File: 2014\_Marine Licence
Application.pdf >>

Once I receive a copy of the Environment Statement on CD, I can forward this to MSS if anybody requires it.

Please can MS LOT have MSS comments by the 4th July 2014

Paul, in your absence (away on Scotia) and after talking with Andronikos, I have cc'd in the MSS colleagues you would normally consult to make them aware of the consultation and allow maximum time to give comments. Feel free to follow up with your normal consultation email to MSS colleagues on your return.

If anyone cannot access the g:drive to see the documents, please contact me.

Many thanks

Ali

#### **Alexander Ford**

Marine Licensing Casework Officer

Marine Scotland – Marine Planning & Policy Division

Scottish Government, Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB

Tel: +44 (0)1224 295414 S/B: +44 (0)1224 876544 Fax: +44 (0)1224 295524

e. <u>alexander.ford@scotland.gsi.gov.uk</u> W: <u>http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland</u>

Document:	Sound of Islay Demonstration Tidal Array Supplementary Environmental Information Report and associated documentation						
Submitted by:	Royal Haskoning		Date received:	26/05/2014			
	(on behalf of ScottishPow Renewables)	er	Comments sent to MS-LOT on:	03/07/2014			
Marine Scotland Science Assessor(s):	Andronikos Kafas	Main focus:	Commercial Fisheries				

Marine Scotland Science has reviewed the Sound of Islay Demonstration Tidal Array Supplementary Environmental Information Report and associated documentation submitted by Royal Haskoning Consultancy on behalf of ScottishPower Renewables focusing on commercial fisheries aspects and has provided the following comments:

### Overall comments

Commercial fisheries interactions have been already assessed prior to issuing the necessary licences and consent to ScottishPower Renewables back in March 2011. In this new proposal, the array boundary has remained the same as described in the original 2010 ES as well as the proposed amendments applied (increased device dimensions, slight alteration of the device locations, a new installation methodology, life span to 25 years, and revised onshore substation location), do not present additional interactions with commercial fisheries. As a result, the prior baseline characterisation and mitigation strategy were correctly deemed to be sufficient.

The applicant has mentioned in the SEI report that extensive consultation with the local fishermen has been undertaken. Fishermen have raised their concerns around loss of fishing areas and navigational issues (loss of boats and equipment). Significance of commercial fisheries impacts were deemed to range between minor adverse and minor beneficial. However, it was unclear how there will be minor beneficial impacts on commercial fisheries.

The overview of the existing mitigation measures proposed for the Sound of Islay Demostration Tidal Array outlined in SPR (2010) in Table 12.2, page 107 was well presented and included: timing of cable installation during periods of least fishing activity i.e. summer, close consultation with local fishermen, micro-siting of devices, dedicated safety boat, and plans to record device location with Kingfisher. Additional details for accident reporting and loss of gear processes could have been provided. For more guidance regarding this, please refer to Fishing Liaison with Offshore Wind and Wet Renewables Group (FLOWW) website for a copy of the "FLOWW Best Practice Guidance for Offshore Renewables Developments: Recommendations for Fisheries Liaison" - <a href="http://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/energy-infrastructure/offshore-wind-energy/working-with-us/floww/">http://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/energy-infrastructure/offshore-wind-energy/working-with-us/floww/</a>.

#### **Section specific comments**

#### Section 2

Section 2.3.2, p.17, Table 2.2: It would have been easier if the applicant had included the coordinated reference system used to generate the device positions. However it was possible to resolve this by referring to the map footnotes on the next page (UTM Zone 29).

the Sound of Islay Demonstration Tidal Array Supplementary Environmental Information Report
MSS comments on Commercial Fisheries – Page 1 of 2

Section 2.3.3, p.19,	Table 2.3:	A reference t	to the	map	of the	estimated	approximate	anchor	positions	on
page 47 would	l have been	useful.								

Section 2.3.4, p20, §1: The operational period has been extended to 25 years. No background information of why this became favourable has been provided.