



**Code:** UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7A02

## **Volume 7A Overview Chapter Appendices**

Appendix 6-1 Landfall Appraisal RAG Criteria

Caledonia Offshore Wind Farm Ltd

5th Floor Atria One, 144 Morrison Street, Edinburgh, EH3 8EX

# Volume 7A Appendix 6-1 Landfall Appraisal RAG Criteria

<b>Code</b>	UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7A02
<b>Revision</b>	Issued
<b>Date</b>	18 October 2024

# Table of Contents

1	Criteria for RAG Assessment .....	1
---	-----------------------------------	---

## List of Tables

Table 1-1: RAG Criteria Used for Landfall Appraisal.....2

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

<b>HDD</b>	Horizontal Directional Drilling
<b>MHWS</b>	Mean High Water Spring
<b>RAG</b>	Red Amber Green
<b>SAC</b>	Special Areas of Conservation
<b>SPA</b>	Special Protection Areas
<b>SSSI</b>	Site of Special Scientific Interest

# 1 Criteria for RAG Assessment

- 1.1.1.1 Each landfall area was considered as part of a Red Amber Green (RAG) assessment. This approach allows for efficient comparison of a large number of sites. RAG assessments are an assessment tool frequently used to assess potential risks of proposed development options.
- 1.1.1.2 Each criteria used was given a score of Red, Amber or Green indicating the adverse or positive impacts on the landfall location. It should be noted that a red score did not necessarily preclude the landfall location from being considered, it just indicates that other landfall locations may perform better within those criteria.
- 1.1.1.3 The findings of the high-level desk-based review of available information have been used to inform the RAG assessment. The criteria considered as part of the RAG assessment include:
- coastal topography;
  - geology and ground conditions;
  - coastal erosion;
  - suitability for open cut/trench;
  - suitability for Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD);
  - suitability for onshore area;
  - natural heritage and the water environment;
  - cultural heritage;
  - people and land use; and
  - planning designations and considerations.
- 1.1.1.4 Table 1-1 presents the criteria used for the RAG assessment.

Table 1-1: RAG Criteria Used for Landfall Appraisal

Topic	Favourable scenario (Green)	Less Favourable scenario (Amber)	Unfavourable scenario (Red)
Ground Conditions and Topography			
Suitability of Ground Conditions for Open Cut/Trench at Landfall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Level coastal topography such as a beach and flat backshore area. Absence of coastal cliff/slope; and</li> <li>▪ Anticipated easy excavation in superficial material.</li> </ul>	<p>The site has TWO or more constraints/characteristics which make it less favourable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Coastline vulnerable to erosion, and future coastal regression is predicted;</li> <li>▪ Shallow rock is shown on the superficial geology map, or a rocky coastal platform is visible on aerial imagery (poor excavatability);</li> <li>▪ A coastal road is present at the landfall point, which would be disrupted by trenching works; and</li> <li>▪ Potentially complex coastal topography such as sand dunes within the backshore area, or a low/shallow coastal slope (but not a cliff).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site has challenging topography such as coastal cliffs which would impact the feasibility/constructability of a direct-trenched option; and</li> <li>▪ Shallow rock is shown on the superficial geology map, or a rocky coastal platform is visible on aerial imagery (poor excavatability).</li> </ul>
Suitability of Ground Conditions for HDD at Landfall	<p>The HDD will be drilled within rock, AND all of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The geological map does not show the rock to be impacted by significant faulting or shearing</li> </ul>	<p>The site has ONE of the following constraints/characteristics which make it less favourable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Part of the HDD drive is anticipated to be within sand/gravel;</li> </ul>	<p>The site has TWO or more of the following adverse characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Part of the HDD drive is anticipated to be within sand/gravel;</li> <li>▪ The geological map shows the rock to be impacted by faulting or shearing;</li> </ul>

Topic	Favourable scenario (Green)	Less Favourable scenario (Amber)	Unfavourable scenario (Red)
	<p>(which would increase the potential for fluid loss during drilling)<sup>i</sup>;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The geological map does not show bedded/sedimentary rock (generally considered to present an increased potential for fluid loss during drilling, compared to igneous and metamorphic rock types which are less likely to contain bedding-related discontinuities); and</li> <li>▪ The geological map does not show a high degree of lithological variability (potential for variation in material strength/hardness which could impact on HDD works).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The geological map shows the rock to be impacted by faulting or shearing;</li> <li>▪ The geological map shows high degree of lithological variability at the landfall site; and</li> <li>▪ The geological map shows sedimentary bedrock.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The geological map shows high degree of lithological variability at the landfall site; and</li> <li>▪ The geological map shows sedimentary bedrock.</li> </ul>
<b>Access</b>			
<p>Access for Cable Vessels / Barges</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 10m water depth &lt;1km from Mean High Water Springs (MHWS); and</li> <li>▪ No nearshore obstructions such as shipwrecks.</li> </ul>	<p>The site has any of the following adverse characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Nearshore obstructions such as shipwrecks;</li> <li>▪ Distance to 10m water depth is unknown; and</li> <li>▪ Distance to 10m water depth is 1km-2km from MHWS.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 10m water depth is &gt;2km from MHWS.</li> </ul>

<sup>i</sup> other discontinuities and unrecorded faults may still be present within the rock mass



Topic	Favourable scenario (Green)	Less Favourable scenario (Amber)	Unfavourable scenario (Red)
<p>Access to Onshore Area and availability of space for Transition Joint Bay and 100m wide corridor</p>	<p>The site has THREE or more of the following beneficial characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Landfall point is &lt;200m from an existing road or track;</li> <li>▪ There is evidence of an existing road or track &lt;200m from the landfall point which has been currently/recently used for haulage or construction traffic;</li> <li>▪ There are no immediate constraints at the landfall point which would limit the space available onshore for a compound. such as residential properties; and</li> <li>▪ There is an existing area of hardstanding such as a car park in close proximity to the landfall point.</li> </ul>	<p>Landfall point is 200m-500m from an existing road or track,</p> <p>AND the site has one of the following beneficial characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ There is evidence of an existing road or track 200m-500m from the landfall point which has been currently/recently used for haulage or construction traffic;</li> <li>▪ There are no immediate constraints at the landfall point which would limit the space available onshore for a compound; and</li> <li>▪ There is an existing area of hardstanding such as a car park in close proximity to the landfall point.</li> </ul>	<p>The site is &gt;500m from the nearest road or track</p>
<p>Access to Shoreline / Intertidal area for Trenching</p>	<p>There is evidence of an existing road or slipway which could be used to provide plant/vehicle access onto the shoreline.</p>	<p>There is no evidence of an existing access point to the shoreline, however the topography is suitable for an access point for plant/vehicles to be created without too many technical issues</p>	<p>There is no current access point onto the shoreline for plant/vehicles and creation of such an access point it likely to be technical challenging due to coastal cliffs</p>
<p>Environment and Consents</p>			

Topic	Favourable scenario (Green)	Less Favourable scenario (Amber)	Unfavourable scenario (Red)
Natural Heritage and Water Environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site is &gt;500m from internationally, nationally and locally protected sites such as Special Protection Areas (SPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), RAMSAR and ancient woodland; and</li> <li>▪ No fluvial flood risk.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site is &lt;500m from from internationally, nationally and locally protected sites such as SPA, SAC, SSSI, RAMSAR and ancient woodland; and</li> <li>▪ The site is &lt;500m from an area of high fluvial flood risk.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site lies within a internationally, nationally and locally designated site such as SPA, SAC, SSSI, RAMSAR and could be subject to direct / unacceptable impact;</li> <li>▪ The site directly impacts ancient woodland; and</li> <li>▪ The site is &lt;50m from an area of high fluvial flood risk.</li> </ul>
Cultural Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site is &gt;500m from National and Regional Designations (Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site is&lt;500m from National and Regional Designations (Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The site lies within National Designations (Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area); and</li> <li>▪ The site lies within Regional Designations (Listed Buildings, Conservation Area).</li> </ul>
People / Land Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ No conflict with existing infrastructure;</li> <li>▪ No residential properties within 250m;</li> <li>▪ No sensitive land uses (such as schools, recreational areas and hospitals) within 250m; and</li> <li>▪ No Core Paths / Recreational Routes within 250m.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Close proximity to existing infrastructure (&lt;250m);</li> <li>▪ Properties located within close proximity (&lt;250m);</li> <li>▪ Sensitive land uses (such as schools, recreational areas and hospitals) within close proximity (&lt;250m); and</li> <li>▪ Core Paths / Recreational Routes in close proximity (&lt;250m) or crossing site.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Conflict with existing infrastructure (cabling / pipelines);</li> <li>▪ Residential and commercial properties within 50m; and</li> <li>▪ Sensitive land uses (such as schools, recreational areas and hospitals) within 50m.</li> </ul>
Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ No conflict with permitted planning applications; and</li> <li>▪ No conflict with adopted/proposed planning allocations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Potential conflict with permitted planning applications; and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Direct conflict with permitted planning applications.</li> </ul>

Topic	Favourable scenario (Green)	Less Favourable scenario (Amber)	Unfavourable scenario (Red)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Potential conflict with adopted/proposed planning allocations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Direct conflict with adopted/proposed planning allocations.</li> </ul>
Cable Crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ No cable crossing required.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ One or more cable will be crossed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ N/A.</li> </ul>

Caledonia Offshore Wind Farm  
5th Floor, Atria One  
144 Morrison Street  
Edinburgh  
EH3 8EX

[www.caledoniaoffshorewind.com](http://www.caledoniaoffshorewind.com)

