



# Morven South Offshore Wind Array Project

Habitats Regulations Appraisal

**Volume 3, Annex 2.1: Compensation Stakeholder  
Consultation**

MVCNS-J1201-RPS-10156  
May 2026

B01

## Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Checker	Approved by	Date
FINAL	Application	TTRPSEL	TTRPSEL	MvOWL	May 2026

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use and benefit of our client and solely for the purpose for which it is provided. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by Tetra Tech RPS Energy Ltd, any of its subsidiaries, or a related entity (collectively 'Tetra Tech RPS Energy') no part of this report should be reproduced, distributed or communicated to any third party. Tetra Tech RPS Energy does not accept any liability if this report is used for an alternative purpose from which it is intended, nor to any third party in respect of this report.

The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. The report has been prepared using the information provided to Tetra Tech RPS Energy by its client, or others on behalf of its client.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Tetra Tech RPS Energy shall not be liable for any loss or damage suffered by the client arising from fraud, misrepresentation, withholding of information material relevant to the report or required by Tetra Tech RPS Energy, or other default relating to such information, whether on the client's part or that of the other information sources, unless such fraud, misrepresentation, withholding or such other default is evident to Tetra Tech RPS Energy without further enquiry. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by the client or others on behalf of the client has been made. The report shall be used for general information only.

**Prepared by:**

**TTRPSEL**

**Prepared for:**

**Morven Offshore Wind Limited**

---

## Table of contents

<b>1 Compensation Stakeholder Consultation log .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 References.....</b>	<b>9</b>

## List of tables

Table 1.1: Consultation log outputs .....	1
---	---

# 1 Compensation Stakeholder Consultation log

**Table 1.1: Consultation log outputs**

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) Derogation Consultation - Identification of Predator Control Measures	06.06.2024	NatureScot; Marine Directorate – Licensing Operations Team (MD-LOT)	Virtual meeting	<p>The meeting on 06 June 2024 aimed to gather feedback from NatureScot and MD-LOT on the Applicant’s proposed approach and supporting report for identifying offshore island locations suitable for predator eradication as potential Habitats Regulations compensation. The discussion focused on scope, methodology, and any concerns.</p> <p>The Applicant shared its proposed approach and supporting report for identifying offshore island locations suitable for predator eradication as potential compensation under the Habitats Regulations.</p>	Identification of the offshore island selection process suitable for predator eradication is presented in Volume 3, Annex 2.3: Assessment of Offshore Islands Potentially Suitable for Predator Eradications Report of the HRA.

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
HRA Derogation Consultation - Identification of Predator Control Measures	27.06.2024	NatureScot; MD-LOT	Email received	<p>Following the meeting on 06 June 2024 the Applicant sought stakeholder views on the feasibility and implications of rat eradication on selected islands, including Isle of Rum, and requested clarity on logistical, ecological, and monitoring considerations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot noted that while removing rats from the Isle of Rum could benefit seabird populations, the scale and rugged terrain of the island make eradication highly challenging and costly. They emphasised that rats are widespread, and traditional methods such as poisoning would pose risks to other species.</li> <li>NatureScot highlighted that puffin numbers are very low, with no recent records, and any link to rat predation remains inconclusive. NatureScot raised the need for robust planning, biosecurity, and post-eradication monitoring.</li> </ul>	Feasibility and implications of rat eradication on selected islands, including Isle of Rum, is presented in Volume 3, Annex 2.3: Assessment of Offshore Islands Potentially Suitable for Predator Eradications Report of the HRA, with further details about the island section in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA.
HRA Derogation Consultation	03.02.2025	National Trust	Letter received	<p>The Applicant contacted the National Trust regarding the potential use of Sheep Island (Northern Ireland) for compensatory measures as part of derogation proposals linked to the Offshore Wind Farm project. The aim was to clarify land ownership and explore feasibility for habitat restoration or predator eradication.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National Trust confirmed it owns Sheep Island and has previously been approached by other Offshore Wind Farm developers for similar purposes. While the Trust does not object in principle to using its land for compensatory measures, it assesses requests on a case-by-case basis.</li> </ul>	The island selection is presented in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA.

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National Trust highlighted that access for pre-eradication surveys was recently refused for another developer and indicated the same decision would apply to Morven North and Morven South.</li> <li>National Trust suggested that the Applicant should explore alternative compensation sites, as the Trust does not own land in Scotland (where other sites are being considered).</li> </ul> <p>The National Trust effectively declined access to Sheep Island for Morven North and Morven South compensation measures and advised the developer to seek alternative options. They expressed openness to future collaboration on other suitable sites, provided sufficient lead time for planning.</p>	
HRA Derogation Consultation - Identification of Predator Control Measures	05.03.2025	NatureScot, MD-LOT	Virtual meeting	<p>This meeting aimed to discuss the identification of Valued Ornithological Receptors (VORs) for Morven North and Morven South. The consultation sought feedback from NatureScot and MD-LOT on baseline offshore ornithology reports, seasonal definitions, cumulative impact assessment scenarios, and compensation measures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot advised that cumulative impact assessment (CIA) scenarios must be agreed at the pre-application stage. They highlighted the need for clarity on whether Morven North and Morven South will be assessed individually or as a combined site and advised that the potential for double counting due to overlapping buffers should be considered.</li> <li>NatureScot noted peaks in guillemot and razorbill abundance may relate to post-breeding dispersal. They advised reviewing fledging dates and considering adjustments to seasonal definitions, such as adding a post-breeding dispersal period.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A description of the surveys undertaken to date, biosecurity planning, and monitoring is represented in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA with further details about the surveys in Volume 3, Annex 2.5 and 2.6 and monitoring in Volume 3, Chapter 3: Outline Compensation Implementation, Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan of the HRA.</li> <li>The approach to Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) was agreed and the Applicant has followed NatureScot's advice in relation to seasonal definitions for guillemot throughout the assessments presented in Volume 2,</li> </ul>

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot acknowledged predator eradication could be beneficial but emphasised the need for detailed surveys, biosecurity planning, and monitoring. They encouraged early consultation on shortlisted islands and other measures like seagrass restoration or marine litter removal, while noting strategic alignment with plan-level compensation is still uncertain.</li> </ul>	Chapter 3: Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA) Part 3: SPA and Ramsar Site Assessments of the HRA.
HRA Derogation - proposed predator eradication survey work consultation	21.03.2025	NatureScot	Email received	<p>The Applicant consulted NatureScot to confirm the seasonal classification for common guillemot and razorbill in distributional response assessments and to discuss proposed summer colony count and habitat surveys at shortlisted islands for potential predator eradication as compensation measures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot agreed with the Applicant's proposal to classify August as part of the non-breeding season, noting this was supported by Isle of May data and the absence of additional fledging data from nearby colonies.</li> <li>NatureScot confirmed agreement with the seasonal classification proposal.</li> </ul>	The seasonal classification for common guillemot and razorbill in distributional response assessments is presented in Volume 2, Chapter 3.1: RIAA Apportioning. Additionally, a description of the surveys done to date, biosecurity planning, and monitoring is represented in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA with further details about the survey in Volume 3, Chapter 2.5 and 2.6 and monitoring in Volume 3, Chapter 3: Outline Compensation Implementation, Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan of the HRA.
HRA Derogation Consultation	16.05.2025	NatureScot	Email received	<p>The Applicant consulted NatureScot to ensure the proposed surveys would provide sufficient evidence to justify the effectiveness of predator removal for seabird conservation. The Applicant also sought advice on timing and techniques for stable isotope analysis and other diet assessment methods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot welcomed the high standard of the proposed scope and early baseline data</li> </ul>	The Applicant agreed to adjust the timing and scope of feasibility studies to address these concerns, including earlier surveys, enhanced photographic analysis, and consideration of isotope and eDNA methods. A description of the surveys completed to date, biosecurity

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
				<p>collection but emphasised two critical considerations: assessing habitat accessibility for predators and gathering direct evidence of predation on seabirds. NatureScot recommended incorporating high-resolution photographs into the June surveys to calculate accessible cliff habitat and suggested stable isotope analysis as a potential method for confirming seabird predation, noting timing is crucial for meaningful results (response on 16 May 2025).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot highlighted that previous eradication projects are not directly comparable because compensation measures require stronger evidence of effectiveness. They stressed that global assumptions about rat predation need site specific validation, especially for cliff-nesting species.</li> </ul>	<p>planning, and monitoring is represented in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA with further details about the survey in Volume 3, Annexes 2.5 and 2.6 of the HRA.</p>
HRA Derogation Consultation	19.05.2025	NatureScot	Email received	<p>The Applicant consulted NatureScot for any seagrass or similar habitat restoration projects around the Scottish coastline that have not yet secured funding, particularly those previously considered by Scottish Marine Environmental Enhancement Fund (SMEEF) but not progressed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot confirmed they are currently exploring more seagrass restoration projects but do not have a list of active projects available to share.</li> </ul>	<p>Short list measures for seagrass restoration (and other measures) are presented in Section 3.5 of Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA.</p>
HRA Derogation Consultation	28.05.2025	NatureScot, MD-LOT	Virtual meeting	<p>The meeting aimed to review ongoing work on compensation measures for the Morven North and Morven South, focusing on predator eradication as the primary measure. It also sought feedback on other shortlisted measures, discuss preliminary impact modelling, and agree on next steps for engagement later in the year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot supported treating July as part of the post-breeding season for common guillemot and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advice provided has been incorporated into the Compensation and Evidence Plan (Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA).</li> <li>Long and short listing of measures has been set out in Sections 2 and 3 of Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and</li> </ul>

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
				<p>agreed with using the non-breeding apportioning approach in assessments. They emphasised the need for a dedicated session on in-combination assessments and flagged emerging policy changes that could influence compensation planning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot welcomed predator eradication as a primary measure but stressed the importance of gathering evidence on rat predation and habitat accessibility. They noted that previous eradication projects are not directly comparable and requested robust site specific data to justify compensation levels.</li> <li>The Applicant outlined plans for June colony counts and feasibility studies on Rum and Muck, including habitat mapping and potential use of thermal drones. They committed to sharing modelling outputs and incorporating NatureScot's feedback on evidence requirements.</li> </ul> <p>Both parties discussed supportive measures such as seaweed cultivation, fisheries bycatch reduction, sandeel closures, and habitat restoration. NatureScot expressed interest but highlighted that some concepts (e.g., seaweed farming) require more evidence before formal support can be given.</p>	<p>Evidence Plan of the HRA, which included feedback from stakeholders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additionally a description of the island selection for predator eradication and surveys undertaken is presented in Section 4 of Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA with further details about the survey in Volume 3, Annex 2.5: Island Screening Report and Annex 2.6: Pre-eradication Field Study Report.</li> <li>A further meeting was held with NatureScot on 18 August 2025 where the approach to CEA was agreed.</li> <li>The Applicant has followed NatureScot's advice in relation to seasonal definitions for guillemot throughout Volume 2, Chapter 3: RIAA Part 3: SPA and Ramsar Site Assessments of the HRA.</li> </ul>
HRA Derogation Consultation	16.10.2025	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) Scotland	Virtual meeting request	The Applicant consulted the RSPB Scotland to request a meeting to discuss proposed compensation measures for key seabird species.	Offers of meetings/workshops were not taken up by RSPB.
HRA Derogation Consultation	27.10.2025	RSPB Scotland	Virtual meeting request	The Applicant followed up on the previous correspondence and request for a workshop with RSPB Scotland to discuss proposed compensation measures for key seabird species.	Offers of meetings/workshops were not taken up by RSPB Scotland.

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
HRA Derogation Consultation	28.10.2025	NatureScot, MD-LOT	Virtual meeting	<p>The meeting aimed to provide updated impact numbers for compensation, share progress on predator eradication work (including modelling, surveys, and landowner engagement), confirm which measures are being taken forward following previous advice, and discuss new proposals in relation to gannet compensation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot commended the proactive engagement with island communities and the comprehensive survey work, noting its alignment with previous advice. They emphasised the importance of integrating site specific habitat data and a holistic approach rather than relying solely on modelling for compensation estimates.</li> <li>NatureScot highlighted challenges in predicting seabird population recovery and advised using adaptive management alongside reasonable, evidence-based estimates. They stressed the need for clear justification of density assumptions and early provision of references to support statutory reviews.</li> <li>NatureScot supported focusing on Muck as the primary project-led measure while exploring Rum as a strategic opportunity through government-led initiatives.</li> <li>NatureScot considered the proposal regarding gannet as promising but noted difficulties in quantifying benefits. They acknowledged its ecological importance and agreed to review the measure further, suggesting collaboration if costs exceed project capacity.</li> </ul>	<p>A description of the surveys done to date, biosecurity planning, and monitoring is represented in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Compensation and Evidence Plan of the HRA with further details about the survey in Volume 3, Chapter 2.5 and 2.6 and monitoring in Volume 3, Chapter 3: Outline Compensation Implementation, Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan of the HRA.</p>
HRA Derogation Consultation - gannet	02.12.2025	NatureScot	Email received	<p>NatureScot sent their advice on the proposed gannet compensation measure, following a note prepared by the Applicant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot agreed the measure has potential benefits for gannet.</li> </ul>	<p>Advice provided will be incorporated into documentation provided during the determination phase.</p>

Consultation title	Consultation date	Consultee	Consultation type	Issue(s) raised	Response to issue
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NatureScot provided further advice however due to commercial sensitivity further detail will be provided during the determination phase.</li> </ul>	

## 2 References

Bradbury, G., Trinder, M., Furness, B., Banks, A. N., Caldow, R. W., & Hume, D. (2014). Mapping seabird sensitivity to offshore wind farms. *PloS one*, 9(9), e106366.

BirdLife International (2023). Seabird Tracking Database. Available at: <http://seabirdtracking.org/>. (Accessed: February 2026).

Buckingham *et al.* (2023). Energetic synchrony throughout the non-breeding season in common guillemots from four colonies. *Journal of Avian Biology*. Pp1-16.

Burnell, D., Perkins, A.J. Newton, S.F., Bolton, M., Tiernet, T.D. & Dunn, T.E. (2023). Seabirds Count: a census of breeding seabirds in Britain and Ireland (2015-2021). Lynx Nature Books, Barcelona.

Carter, M. I. D., Boehme, L., Cronin, M. A., Duck, C. D., Grecian, W. J., Hastie, G. D., Jessopp, M., Matthiopoulos, J., McConnell, B. J., Miller, D. L., Morris, C. D., Moss, S. E. W., Thompson, D., Thompson, P. M. and Russell, D. J. F. (2022). Sympatric Seals, Satellite Tracking and Protected Areas: Habitat-Based Distribution Estimates for Conservation and Management. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9, pp.18. DOI:10.3389/fmars.2022.875869.

Dunn, Harris, S.J., Baker, H., Balmer, D.E., Bolton, M., Burton, N.H.K., Caulfield, E., Clarke, J.A.E., T.E., Evans, T.J., Hereward, H.R.F., Humphreys, E.M., Money, S. and O'Hanlon, N.J. (2024). Seabird Population Trends and Causes of Change: 1986–2023, the annual report of the Seabird Monitoring Programme. BTO Research Report 771. British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford.

Gilles, A., Authier, M., Pigeault, R., Ramirez-Martinez, N. C., Benoit, V., Carlström, J., Eira, C., Geelhoed, S. C. V., Laran, S., Sequeira, M., Sveegaard, S., Taylor, N. L., Saavedra, C., Vázquez-Bonales, J. A. and Hammond, P. S. (2025). *Spatial models of cetacean density in European Atlantic waters based on SCANS-IV summer 2022 survey data. Final report published 14 May 2025.* pp.51.

Gilles, A., Authier, M., Ramirez-Martinez, N. C., Araújo, H., Blanchard, A., Carlström, J., Eira, C., Dorémus, G., Fernández-Maldonado, C., Geelhoed, S. C. V., Kyhn, L., Laran, S., Nachtsheim, D., Panigada, S., Pigeault, R., Sequeira, M., Sveegaard, S., Taylor, N. L., Owen, K., Saavedra, C., Vázquez-Bonales, J. A., Unger, B. and Hammond, P. S. (2023). *Estimates of cetacean abundance in European Atlantic waters in summer 2022 from the SCANS-IV aerial and shipboard surveys.* Final report published 29 September 2023 pp.64.

Graham, I. M., Pirotta, E., Merchant, N. D., Farcas, A., Barton, T. R., Cheney, B., Hastie, G. D. and Thompson, P. M. (2017). *Responses of bottlenose dolphins and harbor porpoises to impact and vibration piling noise during harbor construction.* *Ecosphere*, 8 (5), pp.e01793. DOI:10.1002/ecs2.1793.

Hague, E. L., Sinclair, R. R. and Sparling, C. E. (2020). *Regional baselines for marine mammal knowledge across the North Sea and Atlantic areas of Scottish waters.* Scottish Marine and Freshwater Series Vol 11 No 12 pp.308.

Lacey, C., Gilles, A., Börjesson, P., Herr, H., Macleod, K., Ridoux, V., Santos, M. B., Scheidat, M., Teilmann, J., Sveegaard, S., Vingada, J., Viquerat, S., Øien, N. and Hammond, P. S. (2022). *Modelled density surfaces of cetaceans in European Atlantic waters in summer 2016 from the SCANS-III aerial and shipboard surveys.* SCANS-III project report 2. University of St Andrews. UK pp.31.

Langton, R., Boulcott, P. and Wright, P. J. (2021). A verified distribution model for the lesser sandeel *Ammodytes marinus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 667 (1), pp.145-159. MD-LOT (2023). Scoping Opinion – Morven Offshore Wind Farm Array Area – November 2023. Available at: <https://marine.gov.scot/?q=node/24675> (Accessed: February 2026).

MD-LOT (2025). Marine licensing and consenting: offshore renewable energy projects. Available at: Mitigation and monitoring plans - Marine licensing and consenting: offshore renewable energy projects - gov.scot (Accessed: February 2026)

---

NatureScot (2018). Interim Guidance on apportioning impacts from marine renewable developments to breeding seabird populations in SPAs.

Popper, A., Hawkins, A., Fay, R., Mann, D., Bartol, S., Carlson, T., Coombs, S., Ellison, W., Gentry, R., Halvorsen, M., Løkkeborg, S., Rogers, P., Southall, B., Zeddies, D. and Tavalga, W. (2014). Sound Exposure Guidelines for Fishes and Sea Turtles: A Technical Report prepared by ANSI-Accredited Standards Committee S3/SC1 and registered with ANSI. New York, Springer.

Searle, K. R., Daunt, F., & Wanless, S. (2019). Potential climate-driven changes to seabird demography: Implications for assessments of marine renewable energy development. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 690, 185–200.

Spencer, S. M. (2012) Diving behavior [sic] and Identification of Sex of Breeding Atlantic Puffins (*Fratercula arctica*), and Nest-Site Characteristics of Alcids on Petit Manan Island, Maine. Masters Theses 1911 – February 2014. 812.

Thaxter, C. B., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Harris, M. P., Benvenuti, S., Watanuki, Y.,... & Hamer, K. C. (2010). Influence of wing loading on the trade-off between pursuit-diving and flight in common guillemots and razorbills. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213(7), 1018-1025.

Wade, H. M., Masden, E. A., Jackson, A. C., & Furness, R. W. (2016). Incorporating data uncertainty when estimating potential vulnerability of Scottish seabirds to marine renewable energy developments. *Marine Policy*, 70, 108-113.

WWT Consulting and MacArthur Green (2014). Strategic assessment of collision risk of Scottish offshore wind farms to migrating birds. Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-marine-freshwater-science-volume-5-number-12-strategic-assessment/#:~:text=Overall%2C%20birds%20on%20migration%20through,gulls%2C%20cormorant%20and%20common%20tern> (Accessed: February 2026).