



BERWICK BANK WIND FARM OFFSHORE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX 21, ANNEX A: IN-COMBINATION CLIMATE IMPACTS (ICCI) ASSESSMENT

> EOR0766 Environmental Impact Assessment – Appendix 21, Annex A FINAL





Document St	Document Status							
Version	Purpose of Document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review Date			
FINAL	Final	RPS, ITPEnergised, OPEN, Cork Ecology	RPS, ITPEnergised, OPEN, Cork Ecology	RPS, ITPEnergised, OPEN, Cork Ecology	November 2022			

Approval for Issue		
Ross Hodson	[Redacted]	18 November 2022

Prepared by:	RPS, ITPEnergised, OPEN, Cork Ecology	
Prepared for:	SSE Renewables	
Checked by:	Anja Schoene	
Accepted by:	Ross Hodson	
Approved by:	Ross Hodson	

© Copyright RPS Group Plc. All rights reserved.

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client.

The report has been compiled using the resources agreed with the client and in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client. No liability is accepted by RPS for any use of this report, other than the purpose for which it was prepared. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. RPS does not accept any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report.

RPS accepts no responsibility for any documents or information supplied to RPS by others and no legal liability arising from the use by others of opinions or data contained in this report. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by others has been made.

RPS has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in compiling this report and no warranty is provided as to the report's accuracy.

© Copyright 2022 ITPEnergised. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Energised Environments Limited, ITPE Ltd and Xero Energy Limited, trading as ITPEnergised. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of ITPEnergised companies constitutes an infringement of copyright unless otherwise expressly agreed by contract.

Limitation: This document has been prepared solely for the use of the Client and any party with whom a warranty agreement has been executed, or an assignment has been agreed. No other parties may rely on the contents of this document without written approval from ITPEnergised for which a charge may be applicable. ITPEnergised accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of use of this document for any purpose other than that for which it was commissioned, nor the use of this document by any third party with whom an agreement has not been executed.

The contents of this document are confidential to the intended recipient and may not be disclosed without their express consent. If received in error, please delete it without making or distributing copies. Opinions and information that do not relate to the official business of Energised Environments Limited registered at 4th Floor, Centrum House, 108-114 Dundas Street, Edinburgh, EH3 5DQ or ITPE Ltd., registered at 33 Colston Avenue, Bristol, BS1 4UA, or Xero Energy Limited, registered at 4th Floor, Centrum House, 108-114 Dundas Street, Edinburgh, EH3 5DQ trading as ITPEnergised, are not endorsed by the company or companies.

© Copyright Optimised Environments Ltd. All rights reserved.

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client.

The report has been compiled using the resources agreed with the client and in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client. No liability is accepted by Optimised Environments Ltd for any use of this report, other than the purpose for which it was prepared. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. Optimised Environments Ltd does not accept any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report.

Optimised Environments Ltd accepts no responsibility for any documents or information supplied to Optimised Environments Ltd by others and no legal liability arising from the use by others of opinions or data contained in this report. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by others has been made.

Optimised Environments Ltd has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in compiling this report and no warranty is provided as to the report's accuracy.





CONTENTS

1.	In-combi	nation Climate Impacts1
2.	Summar	y 11
3.	Reference	ces11
TA	BLES	
Tabl	e 1.1:	Screening of Offshore EIA Receptor Groups Likely to be Sensitive to Projected Future Climate Hazards (green: not likely sensitive, i.e. screened out; orange: likely sensitive, i.e. screened in)2
Tabl	e 1.2:	Offshore In-combination Climate Impacts2
Tabl	e 1.3:	Screening of Onshore EIA Receptor Groups Likely to be Sensitive to Projected Future Climate Hazards (green: not likely sensitive, i.e. screened out; orange: likely sensitive, i.e. screened in)9
Tabl	e 1.4:	Onshore In-combination Climate Impacts9





1. IN-COMBINATION CLIMATE IMPACTS

- 1. In accordance with the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to: Climate Change Resilience & Adaptation, June 2020 (IEMA, 2020), this annex contains an in-combination climate impact (ICCI) assessment to complement the Climate Vulnerability assessment of the Project (see Appendix 21 of the Offshore EIA Report).
- 2. Table 1.2 and Table 1.4 present the effects of the Project in combination with anticipated future climate change on environmental receptors, i.e. it assesses the extent to which anticipated future climate change exacerbates the effects of the Project on an identified environmental receptor (IEMA, 2020). Table 1.2 sets out the in-combination climate impacts for the offshore Proposed Development and Table 1.4 those for the onshore Proposed Development.
- 3. Each table sets out:
 - climate hazards as described in section 4.2.3 of the Climate Vulnerability assessment of the Project for the Climate Vulnerability study area defined in section 4.1.1 of the assessment (see Appendix 21);
 - receptors that are likely to be sensitive to the projected climate hazard during the construction and operation and maintenance phases of the offshore Proposed Development and onshore Proposed Development respectively, as screened in **Table 1.1** and **Table 1.3**, based on professional judgement or/and literature reviews as noted in volume 2, chapters 7 to 19 and in appendix 20.1 of the offshore Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report, and based on professional judgement with regards to the onshore EIA Report. The receptors are those within the study area defined for each EIA topic in the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapters 7 to 19, and in the onshore EIA Report, volume 1, chapters 6 to 14;
 - a qualitative description of the identified potential in-combination climate impacts (ICCI);
 - statement on whether the ICCI is likely to be significant based on expert judgement, i.e. whether the predicted climate change hazard exacerbates the effects on an environmental receptor to such a degree that effects identified in topic-specific assessment as not significant are now considered to be potentially significant, or effects identified in the topic-specific assessment as significant are found to have an exacerbated significance; and
 - an explanation of the approach to mitigation measures for predicted likely significant ICCI.





Offshore Proposed Development

Table 1.1: Screening of Offshore EIA Receptor Groups Likely to be Sensitive to Projected Future Climate Hazards (green: not likely sensitive, i.e. screened out; orange: likely sensitive, i.e. screened in)



Table 1.2: Offshore In-combination Climate Impacts

Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
Increase in air and sea temperatures (across all months)	Ornithology	Projected Climate Change impacts have been considered in the future baseline scenario for the Proposed Development undertaken in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11) and in the Technical Appendix to the inter-related effects chapter (appendix 20).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate designed in and mitigation measures proposed in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 11).
		As highlighted in volume 2, chapter 9 and volume 3, appendix 20, changes in sea temperature will have		

2





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
		an effect on fish at all biological levels (cellular, individual, population, species, community and ecosystem) both directly and indirectly. As sea temperatures rise, species adapted to cold water (e.g., cod and herring) will begin to disappear while warm water adapted species will become more established. These changes will lead to changes in prey distribution and availability, which in turn will affect the seabird species that prey on these fish species, ultimately resulting in ecosystem and population level effects. However, indirect impacts on seabirds as a result of changes to prey availability or distribution arising from the Proposed Development were predicted to be of negligible to minor significance (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11), therefore this identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .		
Increase in heat wave frequency	Ornithology	Projected Climate Change impacts have been considered in the future baseline scenario for the Proposed Development undertaken in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11) and in the Technical Appendix to the inter-related effects chapter (appendix 20).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate designed in and mitigation measures proposed in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 11).
		Abnormally hot weather can cause stress to seabirds through issues with heat dissipation. For example, great skuas have been found to spend more time bathing in higher temperatures, meaning less time is spent foraging and tending to chicks (Oswald et al., 2008). Diving species may have significant heat loss to the water during diving, however this also generates metabolic heat (Oswald and Arnold, 2012). Puffins are thought to use their relatively large bills to dissipate excess metabolic heat, and so may be more resilient to hot weather than other species (Schraft, Whelan and Elliott, 2019). When combined with indirect effects of climate change, such as reduced prey availability, this can have critical effects on chick survival (Oswald et al., 2008; Hakkinen et al., 2022). However, incombination effects of the Proposed Development with this climate change impact are not predicted, therefore this identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .		
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Ornithology	Projected Climate Change impacts have been considered in the future baseline scenario for the Proposed Development undertaken in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11) and in the Technical Appendix to the inter-related effects chapter (appendix 20).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate designed in and mitigation measures proposed in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 11).
		Strong winds and heavy rainfall during the breeding season can chill eggs, kill nestlings, and prevent adults foraging adequately to feed chicks, which can result in widespread breeding failures (Mallory et al., 2009; Mitchell et al., 2020). Flying and diving under high wind speeds can also impact body condition, by requiring greater energy expenditure (Kogure et al., 2016), and reducing foraging success. Storm conditions can reduce the availability of prey at the water surface and can increase water turbidity which reduces the ability of visual hunting seabirds, such as cormorants and shags, to find food. This can contribute to mass mortality events, which are further discussed in appendix 20. Gannet may be able to take advantage of strong winds during adverse weather by modulating their flight height, giving them some resilience to storms (Lan, Spracklen and Hamer, 2019). For guillemot, the negative demographic impact of storms and adverse weather may be buffered to some extent by their large population size (Johnson et al., 2021). However, in-combination effects of the Proposed Development with this climate change impact are not predicted, therefore this identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .		
Increase in mean sea level /flooding	Ornithology	Projected Climate Change impacts have been considered in the future baseline scenario for the Proposed Development undertaken in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11) and in the Technical Appendix to the inter-related effects chapter (appendix 20).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate designed in and mitigation measures proposed in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 11).
		Seabirds are typically either ground nesting (e.g., herring gull, lesser black-backed gull, Arctic tern), burrowing (e.g., puffin), or cliff nesting (e.g. gannet, guillemot, kittiwake, razorbill). Flooding from heavy rain and storm surges can flood or wash away nests, and high winds can damage or destroy nests. Additionally, rising sea levels may reduce the habitat availability of low-lying nesting species, such as terns (Ratcliffe et al., 2008), with an estimated mean sea level increase of 4cm (likely range between 1 and 7cm) in 2018, when compared with the 1981-2000 average in the Forth and Tay region (Marine Scotland, 2020). For example, low productivity of Scotland's puffins in 2007 has been partially		





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures	
		attributed to unprecedented rainfall which flooded their burrows (Newell et al, 2013). However, incombination effects of the Proposed Development with this climate change impact are not predicted, therefore this identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .			
Increase in winter wind speeds	Ornithology	Projected Climate Change impacts have been considered in the future baseline scenario for the Proposed Development undertaken in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 11) and in the Technical Appendix to the inter-related effects chapter (appendix 20).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate designed in and mitigation measures proposed in the offshore and intertidal ornithology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 11).	
Increase in air temperatures (across	Physical processes receptors – low to	Flying and diving under high wind speeds can also impact body condition, by requiring greater energy expenditure (Kogure et al., 2016), and reducing foraging success. Storm conditions can reduce the availability of prey at the water surface and can increase water turbidity which reduces the ability of visual hunting seabirds, such as cormorants and shags, to find food. This can contribute to mass mortality events, such as the mass auk strandings recorded in the winter of 2021/2022, where large numbers of auks, particularly guillemots, washed up on coasts across the North Atlantic (Fullick et al., 2022), which are further discussed in appendix 20. Gannet may be able to take advantage of strong winds during adverse weather by modulating their flight height, giving them some resilience to storms (Lane, Spracklen and Hamer, 2019). For guillemot, the negative demographic impact of storms and adverse weather may be buffered to some extent by their large population size (Johnson et al., 2021). However, in-combination effects of the Proposed Development with this climate change impact are not predicted, therefore this identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .	No. of the Control of		
all months)	negligible sensitivity: Tidal elevations and currents; waves,	Potential ICCI from increased air temperatures (and possibly rainfall events) that increase the extent and frequency of stratification, where differences in temperature (and chemical properties) between	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the physical processes chapter (refer to the	
Increase in heat wave frequency	bathymetry; seabed sediments; sediment transport pathways; bank morphology; and beach morphology.	ocean layers cause them to diverge. This could enhance the impact of the Proposed Development from changes to hydrodynamics due to underwater infrastructure (effects on waves, currents and sediment		offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 7).	
Increase in winter precipitation	morphology, and beach morphology.	transport) and/ or enhance impacts on secondary receptors, through changes to primary productivity (reduced upwelling, nutrient mixing and ecological characteristics). The impact of the influence of the Proposed Development within the water column has been considered as part of the physical processes assessment in volume 3, appendix 7.1. Effects on stratification and mixing were found to be limited by the highly localised influence of the Proposed Development on tidal currents. These small, localised impacts of minor or negligible adverse significance are not likely to be increased. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .			
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Physical processes receptors – low to negligible sensitivity:	Operation and maintenance phase only	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in	
Increase in winter wind speeds	Tidal elevations and currents; waves, bathymetry; seabed sediments; sediment transport pathways; bank	Potential ICCI from increased storm intensity and /or stronger waves and / or rising sea-levels that compound the impacts of the influence of the Proposed Development on physical processes and resultant impacts (e.g. reduced wave energy, increased scour). The impact of the influence of the Proposed Development including during storm conditions has been modelled as part of the physical		addition to the designed in measures proposed in the physical processes chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 7).	
Increase in mean sea level /flooding	morphology; and beach morphology.	processes assessment in volume 3, appendix 7.1. The presence of the Proposed Development infrastructure for its operational life was predicted to result in small, localised changes that will not extend outside the site or to the coast. These small reduction in tidal flow, currents and wave climate are within natural variation and insufficient to influence the hydrodynamic regime. The storm conditions did not materially alter the findings. These small, localised impacts of minor or negligible adverse significance are not likely to be increased. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .			
Increase in winter precipitation	Physical processes receptors – low to negligible sensitivity:	Operation and maintenance phase only	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in	
Increase in mean sea level /flooding	Tidal elevations and currents; waves, bathymetry; seabed sediments; sediment transport pathways; bank	Potential ICCI from projected increased rainfall and/or higher instances of flooding and /or larger waves resulting from increased wind speeds that accelerate erosion (e.g. beach lowering) and the effects of the Proposed Development at the coast. The impact of the influence of the Proposed Development on intertidal receptors and sediment transport was considered as part of the physical processes		addition to the designed in measures proposed in the physical processes chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 7).	
Increase in winter wind speeds	morphology; and beach morphology.	assessment in volume 3, appendix 7.1. The predicted effects from the Proposed Development are very limited and insufficient to influence sediment transport or coastal and intertidal receptors. Project Design (i.e. cables installed at landfall via trenchless techniques and scour protection) will limit impacts and ensure future resilience of Project infrastructure (cables) to erosion. The small, localised impacts of minor or negligible adverse significance are not likely to be increased. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant.			





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
Increase in air temperatures (across all months) Increase in heat wave frequency	Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology receptors: Various subtidal habitats – medium to high sensitivity MPA features habitats – medium to high sensitivity	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from warming trends that alter living environments and diversity and composition of benthic communities which enhance impacts from habitat disturbance/loss during construction, SSC, invasive non-native species (INNS) and colonisation. Impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology were considered in volume 2, chapter 8. During construction and decommissioning, effects of moderate adverse significance were identified for four receptors, related to habitat loss/disturbance of moderate significance in the short term decreasing to minor adverse significance in the long-term as communities recover. Intertidal habitats and shallow subtidal communities are most vulnerable to temperature increases. As trenchless techniques will avoid interactions with (and impacts on) intertidal receptors, the potential for combined effects is limited in spatial extent. As rapid recovery from the moderate impacts predicted for offshore, deeper-water communities during construction is expected as well as resilience to this impact. Impacts of minor or negligible adverse significance through operation are not likely to be increased by incremental or acute temperature increases. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.		No further mitigation measures are required in addition to designed in measures proposed in the benthic an intertidal ecology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 8).
Increase in air temperatures (across all months) Increase in heat wave frequency	Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology receptors: Various subtidal habitats – medium to high sensitivity MPA features habitats – medium to high sensitivity:	Operation and maintenance Potential ICCI from INNS which could become more prevalent in warmer sea temperatures and together with the colonisation of hard structures out-compete native species alter local ecology. This could compound the negative impacts predicted for the Proposed Development from INNS. Impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology was considered in volume 2, chapter 8. This impact will be managed as far as practicably possible via procedures to prevent invasive species introduction, including an INNS Management Plan (see volume 3, appendix 23.1). As this impact will be controlled through designed in measures and noting the aversion of invasive species to soft sediment habitats, effects of minor or negligible adverse significance would not combine to result in an effect of greater significance. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to designed in measures proposed in the benthic an intertidal ecology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 8). Note: Monitoring commitment to engage with MSS, NatureScot and other relevant key stakeholders to identify and deliver proportionate measures for contributing to strategic monitoring to understand the impact of hard structure colonisation and change in community structure and local species diversity in the immediate vicinity of hard structures.
Increase in winter precipitation Increase in mean sea level /flooding Increase in winter wind speeds	Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology receptors: Various subtidal habitats – medium to high sensitivity MPA features habitats – medium to high sensitivity	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from projected climate hazards that result in an increase SSC in the water environment (e.g. heavy rain and/ or incidents of flooding and /or, high winds (larger waves)) which increase the risk of smothering and abrasions. Species mortality and injury could enhance impacts from the Proposed Development from habitat disturbances. Impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology have been considered in volume 2, chapter 8. The most severe disturbances would occur from these hazards in shallow coastal areas. As Project Design limits impacts to intertidal receptors, the potential for interactions is limited in spatial extent. As full recovery from the construction impacts of the Proposed Development is predicted by the operation phase and in view of the predicted effects of minor or negligible adverse significance for the Proposed Development there is very limited potential for significant in-combination effects. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to designed in measures proposed in the benthic an intertidal ecology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 8).
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology receptors: Various subtidal habitats – medium to high sensitivity MPA features habitats – medium to high sensitivity	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from increased storm intensity whereby larger waves impact benthic habitats through dislodgements, breakages and abrasions and compound the habitat disturbance impacts predicted for the Proposed Development. Impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology have been considered in volume 2, chapter 8. During construction and decommissioning, effects of moderate adverse significance were identified for four receptors, related to habitat loss/disturbance of moderate significance in the short term decreasing to minor adverse significance in the long-term as communities recover. The most severe disturbances would occur from this hazard in shallow coastal areas. As Project Design prevents impacts to intertidal receptors, the potential for interactions is limited in spatial extent. Offshore deeper-water communities are considered less vulnerable to smothering and siltation rate changes and demonstrate rapid recovery after storms (weeks to months). As full recovery from the construction impacts of the Proposed Development is predicted by the operation phase, the effects of minor or negligible adverse significance predicted would not combine to result in an effect of greater significance. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.		No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate mitigation measures proposed in the benthic an intertidal ecology chapter (refer to the offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 9).
Increase in air temperatures (across all months)	Fish and shellfish receptors Marine fish – medium tor low sensitivity	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from projected warming trends and increased frequency of acute climate events that create unfavourable/intolerable conditions that affect distribution, abundance, health, reproductive	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the fish and shellfish chapter (refer to the





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures		
Increase in heat wave frequency	Diadromous fish - low sensitivity	success and species resilience This hazard could enhance the effects of the Proposed Development e.g. on habitat loss/disturbance of spawning habitat. Impacts on fish and shellfish ecology have been considered in volume 2, chapter 9. The sum of the effects of the Proposed Development in both phases are minor or negligible and temporary and reversable. Rapid recovery rates and the high resilience of receptors would limit the consequences from a gradual sea temperature rise that manifested over the long term or mortalities from acute events. Designed in measures will reduce the amount of long-term disturbance and benefits from reduced fishing or management measures (e.g. spatial closures) in the area may offset negative impacts. This leaves little potential for significant in-combination effects. The effects of minor or negligible adverse significance predicted would not combine to result in an effect of greater significance. This identified ICCI effect is not significant .		Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 9). Note: Monitoring commitment to engage with Marine Scotland and the SNCBs post consent to identify opportunities for contributing to proportionate and appropriate strategic monitoring of diadromous fish species		
Increase in air temperatures (across	Fish and shellfish receptors	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in		
all months)	Marine fish – medium tor low sensitivity	Potential ICCI from projected warming trends and increased frequency of acute climate events that result in temperature variations that interfere with spawning or hatching periods or migratory cues ¹ leading to altered/reduced species abundance and community composition. This could enhance the		addition to the designed in measures proposed in the fish and shellfish chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 9).		
Increase in heat wave frequency	Diadromous fish - low sensitivity	negative implications of the Proposed Development from habitat loss/disturbance in relation to spawning habitat. Impacts on fish and shellfish ecology were considered in volume 2, chapter 9. Habitats affected by the Proposed Development were not particularly important for diadromous fish and otherwise, were small in the context of the available habitats and expected to recover quickly. Pressures on some species may be increased, but this is uncertain and localised impacts that may be offset in the longer term by fishing or management measures (e.g. spatial closures) in the region. As adverse impacts to spawning habitat from the Proposed Development are minor or negligible , and given the uncertainty		Note: Monitoring commitment to engage with Marine Scotland and the SNCBs post consent to identify opportunities for contributing to proportionate and appropriate strategic monitoring of diadromous fish species		
		that this effect might occur, effects would not combine to result in an effect of greater significance. This identified ICCI effect is not significant .				
Increase in summer storm intensity	Fish and shellfish receptors	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in		
due to heavy rainfall events	Marine fish – medium tor low sensitivity	Potential ICCI from hazards that influence sea conditions could negatively impact lower trophic levels		addition to the designed in measures proposed in the fish and shellfish chapter (refer to the		
Increase in winter precipitation	Diadromous fish - low sensitivity	(prey species) (e.g. heavy rain and/ or incidents of flooding and /or, high winds (larger waves)) and enhance the Proposed Development's impacts on prey species from disturbance, habitat loss, SSC and INNS. Impacts on fish and shellfish ecology were considered in volume 2, chapter 9. Designed in		Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 9).		
Increase in mean sea level /flooding		measures will reduce the amount of long-term disturbance. Effects of minor or negligible adverse significance are predicted in all phases for prey species and their habitats that are predicted to occur				
Increase in winter wind speeds		intermittently and recover quickly. In-combination impacts could manifest if the climate predictions play out. However, changes in sea conditions due to climate hazards are expected to remain localised and infrequent and not result in community scale declines in prey. As most fish could avoid effects due to their mobility and ecosystems are expected to adapt (with a decline in one prey species replaced by another) there is little potential to identify significant in-combination effects., which would not combine to result in an effect of greater significance. This identified ICCI effect is not significant .				
Increase in air temperatures (across	Marine Mammals		Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in		
all months)	Harbour porpoise Bottlenose dolphin White-beaked dolphin Minke whale Harbour seal Grey Seal	Potential ICCI related to warming trends that result in direct exposure to higher SST and adverse changes in living environments (PH, salinity and dissolved oxygen levels and sediment and nutrient loads). These changes represent additional stresses that reduce species resilience to the predicted effects of the Proposed Development from collision (minor all phases), impacts on prey (minor all phases), UXO clearance and underwater noise (minor residual effects). Impacts on marine mammals were considered in volume 2, chapter 10. The hazard is expected to occur incrementally during the lifetime of the Proposed Development when construction effects are past. These species are likely to be affected. However, these effects are not considered a key impact. Due to the adaptive capacity and tolerance of marine mammals to a high range of variations, the impacts of ocean warming can be avoided and species may tolerate or acclimatise to variations. As the operational effects of the Proposed Development are very small (of minor adverse significance) or otherwise limited by designed in		addition to the designed in measures proposed in the marine mammals chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 10).		

¹ Migratory cues are environmental factors that trigger migration, such as day length or temperature changes.





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
		measures, there is little potential to identify significant in-combination effects. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant .		
Increase in air temperatures (across all months)	Marine Mammals Harbour porpoise Bottlenose dolphin White-beaked dolphin Minke whale Harbour seal Grey Seal	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI related to temperature increases that influence the distribution of fish and other prey (plankton, squid, etc). resulting in reduced foraging. This could enhance the predicted effects of the Proposed Development such as displacement due to underwater noise (minor residual effects) and impacts on prey species (minor all phases). Impacts on marine mammals were considered in volume 2, chapter 10. Impacts from the Proposed Development on prey would be small and intermittent with rapid recovery and local relative to their range. Designed in measures will reduce long-term disturbance. Any reduction in prey species could be offset in the long-term by increases in species that benefit from warming, fishing or management measures (e.g. spatial closures) in the vicinity or the aggregation effect of infrastructure (reef effect). If range shifts did occur, it would likely be attributable to temperature (to which the Proposed Development does not contribute). The limited contribution of the Proposed development provides limited potential to result in significant in-combination effects. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant.	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the marine mammals chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 10).
Increase in air temperatures (across all months)	Marine Mammals Harbour porpoise Bottlenose dolphin White-beaked dolphin Minke whale Harbour seal Grey Seal	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning related to warming trends that could alter in migratory patterns. This could enhance the predicted effects of the Proposed Development such as displacement, if species travelled further or impacts on prey, if breeding or feeding opportunities were missed. The effects could equally be beneficial. Impacts on marine mammals were considered in volume 2, chapter 10. Impacts from the Proposed Development on prey and marine mammals would be small (minor) and intermittent with rapid recovery and local relative to their range. In-combination effects could manifest if the climate predictions play out. As effects of the Proposed Development during operation or limited by designed in measures, this complex and uncertain effect of climate change could not be held to cause the significance of the previously predicated effects to increase. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant.		No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the marine mammals chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 10).
Increase in air temperatures (across all months) Increase in heat wave frequency	Commercial fisheries Demersal trawlers Creelers Dredger Static gear fisheries Mobile fisheries	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning from trends / hazards that impact marine ecosystems and reduce the distribution and availability of commercially exploited species. This hazard could enhance potential impacts on commercially exploited species. Impacts on commercial fisheries were considered in volume 2, chapter 15. not exceeding minor significance that were identified during the assessment for commercial fisheries and impacts from restricted access to fishing grounds (negligible or minor significance), displacement of fishing activity (negligible or minor significance), and increased steaming times (negligible or minor significance). This ICCI effect is as assessed for impacts on fish and shellfish and the small, localised impacts of the Proposed Development on fish and shellfish, including commercially exploited species are uncertain, but considered unlikely to be increased to a significant effect in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is assessed as not significant.	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the commercial fisheries chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 14).
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events Increase in heat wave frequency Increase in winter wind speeds	Commercial fisheries Demersal trawlers Creelers Dredger Static gear fisheries Mobile fisheries	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from an increase in extreme weather events which hinder operations and reduce fishing opportunities where grounds or access to those grounds is limited. This could compound the identified effects of restricted access to fishing grounds (negligible or minor), displacement of fishing activity (negligible or minor), increased steaming times to ensure safe passage or find safe havens (negligible or minor) and impacts on biological resources (that do not exceed minor). Impacts on commercial fisheries were considered in volume 2, chapter 15. Due to the intermittency of extreme events and the potential for adaptation strategies to account for changing weather impacts on fishing availability, it is likely that despite increased disruption and changes in available fishing hours, fisheries production will not be affected. The small, localised impacts of the Proposed Development are not likely to be increased to significant effects in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is (negligible or minor significance).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in the commercial fisheries chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 15).
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Shipping and navigation	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from increased occurrences of adverse weather such as storms and heatwaves and strong winds which affect vessel distributions and access to local ports and increase the risk of vessel	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures	
Increase in heat wave frequency Increase in winter wind speeds		to vessel collision risk, vessel to structure allision risk and reduce emergency response capability. Impacts on shipping were considered in volume 2, chapter 13. Interactions between shipping activities are regulated by designed-in measures and management plans which set out procedures to regulate all activities through operation and consequently, impacts were found to be extremely unlikely (negligible for the operation and maintenance phase) or remote. The small, localised impacts of the Proposed Development are not likely to be increased to significant effects in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is not significant .		in the shipping and navigation chapter (refer to the Offshore EIA Report, volume 2, chapter 13).	
Increase in air temperatures (across all months)	Infrastructure and Other Users and Tourism:	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases Potential ICCI from increased occurrences of favourable weather (increases in air and SST temperatures (in- heat wave frequency and decreases in summer precipitation and wind speeds) that	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to the designed in measures proposed in volume 2, chapter 17)	
Decrease in summer precipitation	Recreational sea users (water sports and beach users)	affect public behaviour and patterns of use leading to an increase in recreational users and pressure on the available sea space (either intermittent, short-term influxes or a long-term trend). Increased use by		75.6 2, 5.14ptol 17)	
Decrease in summer wind speeds	Recreational vessels (sailing, recreational fishing and diving vessels)	recreational users could compound the displacement effects of the Proposed Development. As a vast extent of alternative resource will remain available and the impacts initially identified were small (of minor adverse significance). The small, localised impacts of the Proposed Development are not likely to be increased to significant effects in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is not significant .			
Increase in heat wave frequency	Infrastructure and Other Users:	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in	
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Commercial operators of existing cables and pipelines	Potential ICCI from projected increase in heatwaves and storm intensity could increase risks to coastal and offshore infrastructure (e.g. cable exposures). Requirements for repairs such as cable repair/reburial cause infrequent disruptions to commercial operations and personnel. Limited operations could compound the effects of restricted access to existing cables and pipelines from the Proposed Development. The Applicant trusts that good communication, planning and monitoring will reduce issues and disruptions would be intermittent and short-term and commercial operations able to continue. The small, localised impacts (minor adverse significance) of the Proposed Development are not likely to be increased to significant effects in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.		addition to the designed in measures proposed in volume 2, chapter 17)	
Increase in winter precipitation	Infrastructure and Other Users:	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in	
Increase in mean sea level /flooding Decrease in summer wind speeds	Recreational sea users (water sports and beach users) Recreational vessels (sailing, recreational fishing and diving vessels)	Potential ICCI from hazards that influence the distribution and frequency of recreational activities and reducing the suitability of conditions (e.g. for recreational sailing), the quality of bathing waters or the value of biological resources (the size, or prevalence of fish) that support recreation. The influence and significance of this ICCI is unpredictable (and could relieve or enhance the displacement effects of the Proposed Development) but is likely to be inconsequential, especially in winter and because the effects are limited in duration and extent and magnitude. The small, localised impacts of the Proposed Development are not likely to be increased to significant effects in-combination. This identified ICCI effect is not significant.		addition to the designed in measures proposed in volume 2, chapter 17)	
Increase in winter precipitation	Water quality	Construction and decommissioning / operation and maintenance phases	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in	
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	WFD water bodies Classified bathing waters	Potential ICCI from projections of increased rainfall, storm intensity and intensity of flooding that could increase erosion of sediments into the water, reducing water quality and increasing pollutant load. Potential to exacerbate minor impacts on water quality from accidental releases, operational painting and cleaning of marine growth and offshore export cable works, as effected by changes to		addition to the designed in measures proposed in volume 2, chapter 19)	
Increase in mean sea level /flooding		hydrodynamic and sediment transport. Potential effects on hydrodynamics have been considered as part of physical processes assessment and shown to have a very small influence that is not increased by storm events. Changes to water quality been considered in volume 2, chapter 19 and volume 3, appendix 19. Designed in measures to prevent, contain or manage the release of pollutants, regulate vessel activities and minimise seabed disturbance ensure limited impacts (minor or negligible) on water quality. Given the implementation of these measures and based upon the dispersive ability of the extensive and dynamic nature of the marine environment (i.e. wind, tidal processes, currents), only minor changes are expected in the future that would not increase localised effects of relatively low magnitude on water quality or the potential to enhance other impacts on benthic and fish and shellfish ecology. This identified ICCI effect is not significant			





Onshore Proposed Development

Table 1.3: Screening of Onshore EIA Receptor Groups Likely to be Sensitive to Projected Future Climate Hazards (green: not likely sensitive, i.e. screened out; orange: likely sensitive, i.e. screened in)

	Receptor Groups like	ly to be Sensitive to Clima	te Hazards (per Rel	evant Onshore EIA Rep	ort Topic)				
Climate Hazard	Landscape and Visual	Ecology	Ornithology	Noise and Vibration	Cultural Heritage	Geology, Hydrology, Soils and Flood Risk	Traffic and Transport	Onshore Socio- economics	Land Use, Tourism and Recreation
Increase in air temperatures (across all months)									
Increase in heat wave frequency									
Increase in winter precipitation									
Decrease in summer precipitation									
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events									
Increase in mean sea level /flooding									
Increase in winter wind speeds									
Decrease in summer wind speeds									

Table 1.4: Onshore In-combination Climate Impacts

Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
Increase in winter precipitation	All hydrological receptors	Predicted increases in precipitation have been considered in the impact assessment for the onshore Proposed Development in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils and Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 11).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate mitigation measures proposed in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils & Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 11) and the onshore Land Use, Tourism and Recreation chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 14).
	All land use receptors	Increases in precipitation over time resulting in reduced yields, in combination with reduction in land area under production. Impacts on agricultural productivity would be reduced as part of the land acquisition process, including continued engagement with landowners regarding the timing of acquisition and access.	Not significant	





Climate Hazard	Sensitive Receptor Group	In-combination Climate Impacts (ICCI)	ICCI Significance	Mitigation Measures
Decrease in summer precipitation	All hydrological receptors	Predicted decreases in precipitation have been considered in the impact assessment for the onshore Proposed Development in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils and Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 11).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate mitigation measures proposed in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils & Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 11) and the onshore Land Use, Tourism and Recreation chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 14).
	All land use receptors	Decreases in precipitation over time resulting in reduced yields, in combination with reduction in land area under production. Impacts on agricultural productivity would be reduced as part of the land acquisition process, including continued engagement with landowners regarding the timing of acquisition and access.	Not significant	
Increase in summer storm intensity due to heavy rainfall events	Ornithology: Breeding bird assemblage	An increase in summer storms could lead to an increase in failed breeding attempts for nesting birds with heavy rain washing out nests or causing increased mortality in immature or unfledged individuals due to direct impacts of wet and cold or by a reduction in the times available for parent birds to search for food to feed young.	Not Significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate mitigation measures proposed in the onshore Ornithology chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 8)).
	All hydrological receptors	Predicted increases in mean sea level/flooding have been considered in the impact assessment for the onshore Proposed Development in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils and Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 11).	Not significant	No further mitigation measures are required in addition to those appropriate mitigation measures proposed in the onshore Geology, Hydrology, Soils & Flood Risk chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume
	Recreational coastal path – John Muir Way Link	Erosion / flooding of the John Muir Way Link coastal path north of landfall. Should it be required, an alternative path on higher ground will be discussed and agreed with landowners, ensuring a suitable route is present.	Not significant	1, Chapter 11) and the onshore Land Use, Tourism and Recreation chapter (Onshore EIA Report, Volume 1, Chapter 14).





SUMMARY

4. An ICCI assessment has been undertaken for the offshore Proposed Development and onshore Proposed Development. The potential ICCI are not greater than the likely significant effects assessed in the relevant topic chapters of the Offshore EIA Report and Onshore EIA Report respectively, or have been assessed as not being significant.

3. REFERENCES

Fullick, E., Bidewell, C. A., Duff, J. P., Holmes, J. P, Howie, F., Robinson, C. Goodman, G., Beckmann, K. M. and Philbey, A. W. (2022). *Mass mortality of seabirds in GB.* Veterinary Record. 190(3), pp.129-130.

Hakkinen, H., Petrovan, S.O., Sutherland, W.J., Dias, M.P., Ameca, E.I., Oppel, S., Ramírez, I., Lawson, B., Lehikoinen, A., Bowgen, K.M. and Taylor, N.G. (2022). *Linking climate change vulnerability research and evidence on conservation action effectiveness to safeguard European seabird populations*. Journal of Applied Ecology, 59(5), pp.1178-1186.

Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA). (2020). *IEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to Climate Change Resilience and Adaptation*. Available at: https://www.iema.net/resources/reading-room/2020/06/26/iema-eia-guide-to-climate-change-resilience-and-adaptation-2020 Accessed on: 30 June 2022.

Johnston, D.T., Humphreys, E.M., Davies, J.G. and Pearce-Higgins, J.W. (2021). *Review of climate change mechanisms affecting seabirds within the INTERREG VA area*. Report to Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute and Marine Scotland Science as part of the Marine Protected Area Management and Monitoring (MarPAMM) project.

Kogure, Y., Sato, K., Watanuki, Y., Wanless, S. and Daunt, F. (2016). *European shags optimize their flight behavior according to wind conditions*. Journal of Experimental Biology, 219, pp.311-318.

Mallory, M.L., Gaston, A.J. and Gilchrist, H.G. (2009). Sources of breeding season mortality in Canadian Arctic seabirds. Arctic, pp.333-341.

Marine Scotland. (2020). Seabirds. Available at: https://marine.gov.scot/sma/assessment/seabirds-0. Accessed on: 20 August 2022.

Mitchell, I., Daunt, F., Frederiksen, M. and Wade, K. (2020). *Impacts of climate change on seabirds, relevant to the coastal and marine environment around the UK.* MCCIP Science Review 2020, pp.382-399

Newell, M., Harris, M. P., Daunt, F., Watts, E., Quinn, L. and Wanless, S. (2013). *Isle of May seabird studies in 2007*. JNCC Peterborough, JNCC Report No. 475c.

Oswald, S.A. and Arnold, J.M. (2012). Direct impacts of climatic warming on heat stress in endothermic species: seabirds as bioindicators of changing thermoregulatory constraints. Integrative Zoology, 7(2), pp.121-136.

Oswald, S.A., Bearhop, S., Furness, R.W., Huntley, B. and Hmer, K.C. (2008). Heat stress in a high-latitude seabird: effects of temperature and food supply on bathing and nest attendance of great skuas Catharacta skua. Journal of Avian Biology, 39(2), pp.163-169.

Ratcliffe, N., Schmitt, S., Mayo, A., Tratalos, J. and Drewitt, A. (2008). *Colony habitat selection by little terms Sternula albifrons in East Anglia: implications for coastal management*. Seabird, 21, pp.55-63.

Schaft, H.A., Whelan, S. and Elliott, K.H. (2019). *Huffin and puffin: seabirds use large bills to dissipate heat from energetically demanding flight.* Journal of Experimental Biology, 222(21), jeb212563.





