

MOCEAN ENERGY | M100P

Project Environmental Monitoring Plan

EMEC Scapa Flow Scale Site

June 2020



Purpose

Mitigation and monitoring measures have been identified following a review of the project specific environmental impacts, taking into consideration the site environmental description and associated guidance provided by EMEC. This document describes developer-identified mitigation and monitoring measures associated with the proposed project including any statutory reporting mechanisms. For a detailed description of the company, device, and project, please refer to the Project Information Summary.

Document History

Revision	Date	Description	Originated by	Reviewed by	Approved by
1	09/06/20	Submitted	DL (EMEC)	JC (ME)	

i



Contents

1 Te	echnology	1
1.1	Device Overview	1
2 En	nvironmental Monitoring	3
2.1	Disturbance/displacement	3
2.2	Acoustic impact	5
2.3	Collision and Entanglement Risk	6
2.4	Biofouling and non-native species (NNS) introduction	7
2.5	Habitat Creation	8
2.6	Seabed Clearance	9
2.7	Discharges to the Marine Environment	10



List of Figures

Figure 1. M100P visualisation on pier	1
Figure 2. M100P visualisation as deployed at sea	2
Figure 3. M100P drawing with dimensions	2
List of Tables	
Table 1. Key dimensions of the M100P	3
Table 1. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway disturbance/displacement	4
Table 2. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway acoustic impact	5
Table 3. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway collision and entanglement risk	6
Table 4. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway biofouling and introduction of non-native species	8
Table 5. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway habitat creation	9
Table 6. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway seabed clearance	9
Table 7. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway discharges to the marine environment	10



1 Technology

1.1 Device Overview

The M100P consists of two yellow painted steel hulls connected at a hinge through a pair of steel hinge pins. The key dimensions of the machine are given in Table 1. Figure 1 shows a visualisation of the machine on the pier. Figure 2 shows a visualisation of the machine deployed at sea; however, the mooring lines are not shown here. Note that in both figures, the machine will be painted yellow. Figure 3 gives the general arrangement of the machine.




Figure 1. M100P visualisation on pier.






Figure 2. M100P visualisation as deployed at sea.




Figure 3. M100P drawing with dimensions.

Title: Mocean Energy M100P PEMP Version: 1 Date: 09/06/2020

Page | 2



Table 1. Key dimensions of the M100P.

Dimensions	Units	Value
Length Overall	m	19.2
Beam	m	4.2
Draft	m	3.4
Mass	tonnes	31.5

The rotation of the aft hull with respect to the forward hull drives a gearbox and then a generator. Power from the generator is then conditioned and used onboard the WEC to power local system. Power beyond that needed to power on-board systems is stored in 30 kWh of batteries. Once the batteries are fully charged, excess power is dissipated through an onboard dump resistor. Key onboard systems that use power include: the control, communications, cooling, instrumentation, and navigation lighting.

2 Environmental Monitoring

The following sections describe the potential key environmental impact(s) considered relevant to the installation, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of the M100P device at EMEC's Scapa Flow scale test site. Within the following sections is a summary of the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relating to each potential impact pathway for the relevant phase of the project. Any key findings from the monitoring will be disseminated to the regulator, Marine Scotland, and appropriate advisors, e.g. Scottish Natural Heritage.

Under the EMFF co-funded SEA Wave project, the Universities of Exeter and Plymouth and EMEC plan to undertake environmental monitoring research activities in order to understand the potential environmental impacts that may be associated with the deployment, operation and decommissioning of wave energy technology. It is hoped that through his project, an acoustic characterisation of the Mocean device can be undertake and the potential for disturbance impacts can be investigated using video surveillance system.

2.1 Disturbance/displacement

Increased anthropogenic activity within the marine environment can potentially cause changes in the behaviour of receptors, particularly sensitive receptors such as cetaceans, seals, basking sharks and marine birds. There is potential to cause spatial displacement of essential activities for certain species due to increased activity in the area. This is likely to be most prevalent during the installation phase when there will be marked increase in vessel traffic accessing the site. In order to determine the significance of such a potential impact, there is a requirement to understand the importance of the habitat and the availability of alternative habitat elsewhere. The frequency of the impact in terms of duration will also be crucial in determining the significance of the impact. As the proposed testing location at the Scapa Flow test site is close to the coastline, bird species utilising coastal breeding sites may be affected by the increased vessel traffic in the area. In addition, there is the potential to affect birds foraging success or moulting, if the testing is located within a key foraging area or a moulting site. Vessels are only expected to be onsite for a maximum of a few days in which mooring installation, device installation and vessel mooring removal should be completed. The temporary nature of the increase vessel traffic onsite is not expected to cause any significant impacts to seals, cetaceans, basking sharks and marine birds in the vicinity of the Scapa Flow scale test site. Similar disturbance effects due to increased vessel traffic are expected on the decommissioning of the device.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within disturbance/displacement.



Table 2. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway disturbance/displacement

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure	Reporting Mechanism
Installation			
Vessel activity – noise and presence may cause minor disturbance/displacement (including when transiting to and from site) Installation of vessel mooring – noise and presence may cause minor disturbance/displacement	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks, seabirds Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks	Mitigation: Comply with the Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC), including the following measures: Steady course and speed throughout operation. Reduction of speed upon sighting of receptor. Minimum approach distances will be adhered to. Sudden changes in speed, direction and engine noise will be avoided. Vessel will maintain at least 50m distance from coast during seabird breeding season. Vessels will only be onsite for the minimum period of time required. Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper. Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Operational Presence of device and	Cotacoana soola	No mitigation or	N/A
mooring may cause very minor disturbance	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks	No mitigation or monitoring is proposed	IV/A
Decommissioning			
Vessel activity – noise and presence may cause minor disturbance/displacement (including when transiting to and from site)	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks, seabirds	Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.

Title: Mocean Energy M100P PEMP Version: 1 Date: 09/06/2020

Page | 4



Installation of vessel	Cetaceans, seals,	Mitigation:	Any non-compliance
mooring - noise and	basking sharks	The Scottish Marine	with the SMWWC will
presence may cause		Wildlife Watching Code	be reported to the
minor		(SMWWC) will be	regulator as soon as
disturbance/displacement		adhered to.	notified by the vessel
			skipper.

2.2 Acoustic impact

Noise disturbance can occur from the presence of vessels, drilling activities, anchoring, and survey activities. The creation of noise must be placed in the context of the existing environment, as other anthropogenic sources of marine noise may already be present within the area and mask the impact of any additional acoustic outputs. It is unlikely acute effects such as non-auditory/auditory tissue damage would be experienced as a consequence of the acoustic outputs from this project but behavioural effects due to disturbance are possible.

Noise disturbance effects may cause mobile species, such as cetaceans, seals and fish to move away from the immediate proximity of the installation site over the short term, but the impact is likely to be highly localised and temporary. Currently there is little known regarding importance of hearing underwater and hearing thresholds for diving birds but there is the potential for it to cause displacement, avoidance, reduction in foraging success or it may have no effect.

It is anticipated that the installation operation will be completed in a short timescale, therefore, it is not expected that any significant effects to marine mammals, fish or marine birds will result from the installation phase. The same is true for maintenance and decommissioning operations.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within acoustic impact.

Table 3. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway acoustic impact

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism		
Installation					
Vessel activity -	Cetaceans, Seals	, Mitigation:	Any non-compliance		
noise from	Basking Sharks	The Scottish Marine	with the SMWWC will		
increased activity		Wildlife Watching Code	be reported to the		
will cause minor		(SMWWC) will be	regulator as soon as		
acoustic impact		adhered to.	notified by the vessel		
			skipper.		
		Monitoring:			
		Potentially monitoring	A report will be		
		noise using static and	produced if the data		
		drifting passive acoustic	collection is conducted		
		recorders as part of the			
		SeaWave project			
Operational	Operational				
Noise from device	Cetaceans, Seals	, Monitoring:	A report will be		
generating may	Basking Sharks	Potentially monitoring	produced if the data		
cause minor		noise using static and	collection is conducted		
acoustic impact		drifting passive acoustic			



Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism
		recorders as part of the	
		SeaWave project	
Decommissioning			
Vessel activity -	Cetaceans, Seals,	Mitigation:	Any non-compliance
noise from	Basking Sharks	The Scottish Marine	with the SMWWC will
increased activity		Wildlife Watching Code	be reported to the
will cause minor		(SMWWC) will be	regulator as soon as
acoustic impact		adhered to.	notified by the vessel
			skipper.

2.3 Collision and Entanglement Risk

It is unknown whether the potential exists for cetaceans, seals and basking shark to become entangled in mooring lines of the size and dimensions required to moor multicat vessels that will be used during this operation. Entangled animals may drown or starve because they are restricted by mooring lines. Winch lines will be used to install the device which in themselves could present an entanglement risk. It is assumed the mooring lines used within this project will not present a risk to diving seabirds. Marine mammals and basking sharks may suffer physical trauma and infections from a striking event involving vessels used during installation and decommissioning. In addition, entangled animals may also be unable to avoid vessels like they normally would, thus increasing the risk of collision.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within collision and entanglement risk.

Table 4. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway collision and entanglement risk

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism
Installation			
Vessel collision with large marine organisms	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Entanglement of large marine organisms in temporary boat moorings	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Mitigation: Mooring lines will be kept onsite for as short a period as possible.	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore.
Entanglement with device winching lines Operational	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Monitoring: Potential for sensors, or cameras depending on funding available.	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore.



Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism
Entanglement with device mooring lines	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Monitoring: Potential for sensors, or cameras depending on funding available.	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible.
Decommissioning			
Vessel collision with large marine organisms	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Entanglement of large marine organisms in temporary boat moorings Entanglement with	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks Cetaceans, Seals,	Mitigation: Mooring lines will be kept onsite for as short a period as possible. Monitoring:	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore. Any events will be
device winching lines	Basking Sharks	Potential for sensors, or cameras depending on funding available.	reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore.

2.4 Biofouling and non-native species (NNS) introduction

Biofouling is the settlement of biological organisms on man-made structures. Fouling is often a gradual accumulation of organisms which develops over time. Biofouling may consist of microorganism such as bacteria or protozoa or macro-organisms such as barnacles or seaweed. Once installed, the device, moorings, and anchor will be deployed onsite for an extended period of time. This period is expected to provide fouling species an opportunity to settle and grow to maturity.

The spread of non-native organisms can occur through a variety of means including: shipping, transport of fish or shellfish; scientific research and public aquaria. These invasive non-native species can threaten marine diversity. Various guidelines and standards have been referred to in developing the proposed mitigation and monitoring measures (IMO, 2011). It is anticipated that a certain level of biofouling will accumulate, although it is unlikely to pose a risk to introducing non-native species as movements will be limited to UK waters only. However, the introduction of hard substrate into the marine environment may also act as a 'stepping stone' for non-native species. A device may act as locations for non-native species to grow in the area and thus provide a stepping stone for colonization. Detrimental impacts of non-native species on native biota can occur through competition, predation, herbivory, habitat alteration and disease.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within biofouling and the introduction/transfer of non-native species.



Table 5. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway biofouling and introduction of non-native species

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism
Installation			
Introduction of	Benthic species and	Mitigation: Local	Any deviance from
non-native	habitats, and	vessel and	the good practice
species (via	benthic fish and	equipment will be	measures will be
vessel or	shellfish	used, reducing the	reported.
equipment)		potential for	
		introduction of NNS.	
		Compliance with	
		good practice	
		measures.	
Operational			
Accumulation of	Benthic species and	Mitigation:	N/A
biofouling on	habitats, and	The paint used will	
moorings and	benthic fish and	have no anti-fouling	
device may alter	shellfish	properties, however	
local ecosystem		the device will be	
		cleaned periodically.	
Decommissioning			
Introduction of	Benthic species and	Mitigation: Local	Any deviance from
non-native	habitats, and	vessel and	the good practice
species (via	benthic fish and	equipment will be	measures will be
vessel or	shellfish	used, reducing the	reported.
equipment)		potential for	
		introduction of NNS.	
		Compliance with	
		good practice	
		measures.	

2.5 Habitat Creation

The installation and physical presence of the device and associated anchor will inherently result in direct habitat loss. However, colonisation of the introduced structures may have the potential to function as artificial reefs or fish aggregating devices. The increase in the local reef extent may be limited due to the size of the device therefore diminishing the significance of this impact. This artificial substrate could alter the nature and composition of the species present and may enable non-native species to colonise and potentially spread to other areas.

Cetacean, seal and seabird distribution may be influenced by prey distribution and associated prey habitat. The physical presence of the device may offer enhanced foraging efficiency for some species.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within habitat creation.



Table 6. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway habitat creation

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism
Operational			
Fish aggregation device (FAD) effect and colonisation of fouling organisms due to introduction of hard structure (Device, moorings and anchor)	Benthic species and habitats, and fish and shellfish	No significant impacts are expected, therefore no specific monitoring measures are proposed.	N/A
Creation of habitat	Benthic species and	Monitoring: There is a	Findings from analysis
around installed	habitats, and fish and	likelihood of reef effects	will be reported to the
infrastructure	shellfish	around the installed anchors. There is no	regulator as and when available.
		proposed monitoring	available.
		measure however, when	
		the opportunity arises,	
		any video footage of the moorings will be	
		moorings will be analysed to quantify the	
		level of reefing taking	
		place.	

2.6 Seabed Clearance

During the installation phase, the deployment of vessel moorings and device anchor will cause a temporary loss of benthic habitat. Small amounts of lost habitat may diminish populations of species that are recorded as rare. However, vessel moorings and device anchors tend to have a small footprint and are temporary deposits.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within seabed clearance.

Table 7. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway seabed clearance

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism		
All project phases					
Seabed clearance and habitat loss/disturbance from installation and removal of mooring equipment	Benthic species and habitats, and fish and shellfish	Mitigation: Drop-camera will be used during anchor installation and if any sensitive receptors are identified during installation then these areas will be avoided through micro-siting of the anchors and lines/chains on the seabed.	N/A		



2.7 Discharges to the Marine Environment

Benthic species may be exposed to materials such as paints, hydraulic fuels and antifouling compounds originating directly from the device. Accidental spillages from installation or maintenance vessels could also occur. Spillages pose a risk to marine mammals, fish, seabirds and benthic communities and can cause direct effects at the time of the spill or can result in chemical accumulation in body tissues leading to lagged effects on health and breeding success.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway for discharges to the marine environment.

Table 8. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway discharges to the marine environment

Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Monitoring/ Mitigation Measure	Reporting Mechanism	
All project phases				
Leakage of fuel or chemicals from vessels involved with installation, maintenance and decommissioning can enter the foodweb at any trophic level	Potentially whole local ecosystem	Mitigation: Vessel crews should follow standard procedures to avoid fuel and chemical spills. Suitable spill kits should be onboard all vessels involved in the project.	Any incidents will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible.	
Operational				
Corrosion of materials used to construct device and moorings introduce toxins to environment and disrupt ecosystem dynamics	Potentially whole local ecosystem	Mitigation: N/A	N/A	