


Endeavor Wave Limited | **CorPack**
wave cluster

Project-specific Environmental Monitoring Programme

EMEC Billia Croo Wave Test Site

December 2024



Purpose

Mitigation and monitoring measures have been identified following a review of the project specific environmental impacts, taking into consideration the site-wide environmental description or environmental appraisal and associated guidance provided by EMEC. This document describes developer-identified mitigation, monitoring and management measures associated with the proposed project including any statutory reporting mechanisms. For a detailed description of the company, devices, and project, please refer to the Project Information Summary.

Document History

Revision	Date	Description	Originated by	Reviewed by	Approved by
1	18/12/2024	Draft	[Re (EMEC)		[Red EWLtd)
2	20/12/2024	Final	[Re (EMEC)		[Re (EWLtd)

Disclaimer

In no event will the European Marine Energy Centre Ltd or its employees or agents, be liable to you or anyone else for any decision made or action taken in reliance on the information in this report or for any consequential, special or similar damages, even if advised of the possibility of such damages. While we have made every attempt to ensure that the information contained in the report has been obtained from reliable sources, neither the authors nor the European Marine Energy Centre Ltd accept any responsibility for and exclude all liability for damages and loss in connection with the use of the information or expressions of opinion that are contained in this report, including but not limited to any errors, inaccuracies, omissions and misleading or defamatory statements, whether direct or indirect or consequential. Whilst we believe the contents to be true and accurate as at the date of writing, we can give no assurances or warranty regarding the accuracy, currency or applicability of any of the content in relation to specific situations or particular circumstances.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Requirement and objectives	1
1.2	Contents and application of PEMP	1
2	Technology	2
2.1	Device Overview	2
2.2	Mooring System	6
2.3	Device Location	7
2.4	Project Envelope Analysis	8
3	Environmental Monitoring	9
3.1	Disturbance/Displacement	9
3.2	Acoustic impact	13
3.3	Collision and Entanglement Risk	14
3.4	Biofouling and non-native species (NNS) introduction	15
3.5	Habitat Creation	17
3.6	Seabed Clearance	18
3.7	Discharges to the Marine Environment	18
4	Cultural Heritage Impacts	19
4.1	Prehistoric sites	19
4.2	Shipwrecks, aircraft, and obstructions	20
5	Environmental monitoring	20
6	Supporting Documentation	20

List of Figures

Figure 1. WEC system overview with sub-systems	3
Figure 2. WEC Overview – PTO modules	4
Figure 3. Corpower WEC with PTO mated in the composite hull, top shroud, and mooring rod in foreground	5
Figure 4. WEC overview – deployment	6
Figure 5. Outline of the Mooring & Anchoring System to the Seabed (UMACK)	7
Figure 6. Billia Croo site and proposed CorPack wave cluster array location and configuration	8

List of Tables

Table 1. Location of CorPack wave cluster	8
Table 2. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway disturbance/displacement	9
Table 3. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway acoustic impact	13
Table 4. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway collision and entanglement risk	15
Table 5. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway biofouling and introduction of non-native species	16
Table 6. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway habitat creation.....	17
Table 7. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway seabed clearance.....	18
Table 8. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway discharges to the marine environment	19

1 Introduction

Endeavor Wave Limited have prepared this Project Environmental Monitoring Plan (PEMP) in support of a marine licence application to install and operate their CorPack wave cluster devices at Billia Croo. Endeavor Wave Limited will be utilising EMECs Section 36 consent to generate electricity at the suite under the Electricity Act 1989, as it is believed that the project falls within the assessed project envelope. The PEMP documents the proposed mitigation and monitoring measures relating to the devices and associated works.

1.1 Requirement and objectives

As part of a marine licence application, it is necessary to identify monitoring and mitigation measures to reduce the likelihood of any potential environmental impacts occurring due to the proposed development and to measure and assess the extent of any existing impacts. The PEMP should be used as the opportunity to propose methods for monitoring the device in respect to issues of concern identified. EMEC encourages developers at its test sites to independently consider environmental impacts, and the potential for developing new and innovative mitigation and monitoring techniques, not least because of the competitive advantage that assurance regarding the nature, or indeed absence, of such impacts could provide.

The PEMP is an iterative document, the framework, principles and details of which will be agreed as part of any consent from the regulator (Marine Directorate). The commitments made therein are likely to be incorporated into licence conditions. The results of mitigation and monitoring carried out in accordance with the PEMP must be submitted to the Marine Scotland in fulfilment of any licence conditions. It is recommended that all mitigation and monitoring actions have a reporting mechanism or dissemination strategy to ensure the Marine Scotland and statutory consultees are aware of compliance and any results or findings.

1.2 Contents and application of PEMP

The PEMP is a project-specific annex to the EMEC Billia Croo Environmental Appraisal (Xodus, 2019). The PEMP will be formally agreed with Marine Scotland and NatureScot prior to the commencement of any works associated with the Endeavor Wave Limited array at Billia Croo.

During the development of the PEMP, the following should occur:

Identify and support delivery of mitigation necessary for ensuring that residual impacts are reduced to an acceptable level;

- Identify and support delivery of mitigation and monitoring that demonstrate best practice in management of environmental impacts at the test site;
- Increase understanding of environmental impacts and how to monitor and analyse them, to the benefit of Endeavor Wave Limited and the wider industry in relation to commercial upscaling and deployment; and
- Provide opportunities for Endeavor Wave Limited, with support from EMEC, NatureScot and Marine Scotland, to seek innovative solutions for mitigating impacts for understanding the importance of interactions between their devices and the environment.

The PEMP is a live document and will be revisited throughout the lifetime of the project and therefore the document has been designed to be reviewed and updated as the testing and

environmental monitoring progresses. It is important that the monitoring and research surrounding the device's deployment can be adjusted and amended as information on the device and its interactions with the receiving environment become available. This adaptive management approach should allow new and innovative mitigation and monitoring techniques to consider as the testing programme progresses ensuring the PEMP remains current.

2 Technology

2.1 Device Overview

The CorPower WEC converts ocean waves into electricity through the rise and fall as well as the back-and-forth motion. A composite buoy, interacting with this wave motion, drives a Power Take Off (power train located inside the buoy) that converts the mechanical energy into electricity. The WEC consists of a lightweight buoy connected to the seabed through a power conversion module and a mooring system. By means of novel and patented technologies the CPO WEC moves in phase with incoming waves, amplifying the motion and power absorption, making it move in and out of the water surface.

CorPower's technology addresses the key challenges of efficient wave energy harvesting in a unique way. It tunes and detunes the devices to the sea conditions, by such introducing a function to wave energy similar to wind turbines pitching the blades to control the driving force of the device.

Inspired by the pumping principle of the human heart, CorPower uses stored pressure to convert energy from waves in both stroke directions. The human heart uses stored hydraulic pressure to provide force for the return stroke, thereby only requiring the muscles of the heart to pump in one direction. In a similar way, CorPower WEC uses pneumatic pressure to push the buoy downwards. It mimics the energy storage aspect of the human heart whereby the upward force of a wave swell pushes the buoy upwards while the stored pneumatic pressure provides the restoring force driving the buoy downwards. This results in an equal energy production in both directions and a light-weight design with high natural frequency.




Figure 1. WEC system overview with sub-systems

The CorPower WEC (Figure 1) is a Point Absorber type of WEC rated at 350kW, with a heaving composite buoy on the ocean surface that absorbs the wave energy. The buoy is connected to the seabed using an UMACK anchor & mooring system. The WEC uses a unique phase control technology that allows the WEC to be tuned and detuned, altering the system's response to ocean conditions. In operational tuned mode, phase control makes the device oscillate in phase with incoming waves, strongly amplifying the WEC's motion and thereby the power capture. In storms, the detuned state makes the WEC transparent to incoming waves for enhanced survivability.

This combination allows for a large amount of energy to be harvested using a relatively small and low-cost device. Compact and lightweight devices are efficient to produce in volumes, install, operate, and maintain in modular multi-device arrays using low-cost vessels, which improves uptime, increases availability for a higher annual energy production (AEP), and significantly reduces operational costs (OPEX). Moreover, the WEC composite hulls can be produced in large numbers locally at customers' sites with a unique mobile factory concept developed and operated by CorPower, with low cost and minimum GHG emissions from transport and logistic.

The array ready device, consisting of 14 devices connected by a daisy-chain, will have an industrial design and build quality of a production machine, based on cycles of learning from the previous generation devices. The machine architecture is generally maintained from current technology generation, with innovation on sub-systems designed for reliability and optimised for volume manufacturing. It will have less parts, less complexity and deliver higher performance, reliability, and maintainability.

An overview of the WEC layout is shown in Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4.




Figure 2. WEC Overview – PTO modules



Figure 3. Corpower WEC with PTO mated in the composite hull, top shroud, and mooring rod in foreground




Figure 4. WEC overview – deployment

2.2 Mooring System

The WEC is connected to the anchor through a quick-connect system consisting of a remote mechanical, electrical and data connection. The mooring system is then made up of a flexible rod, tidal regulation linear actuator, and crossed H-link universal joint, that all combined are the UMACK Anchor (Figure 5). Other anchor types are considered as alternatives, such as rock anchor (drilled) and monopile (vibrated). The UMACK anchor will be vibrated 18m into the seabed, weighs 37 tonnes and has a circumference of 3.7m. The UMACK is an anchoring system that:

- Can resist vertical loads in excess of 15MN
- Can resist over a hundred million load cycles
- Is suitable for sand and sand/clay seabed types
- Mitigates disturbance to marine life with use of Vibratory install methodology
- Can be 100% decommissioned at the end of project, by reversing the vibration installation process

- Eliminates slack-snatch loading events
- Monopile geometry, eliminates the need for a subsea foundation structure
- Reduces deployment and retrieval time to 30-60min. (pull-down + connect)




Figure 5. Outline of the Mooring & Anchoring System to the Seabed (UMACK)

2.3 Device Location

The CorPack wave cluster will be deployed within the area indicated for Billia Croo (Figure 6), with Table 1 indicating the marine license location of the CorPack wave cluster within its perimeters – including its upcoming extension (see related PIS for further information).

Table 1. Location of CorPack wave cluster

Location Description	Latitude and longitude (WGS 84)
Test site outermost boundary points (thin red line)	58°59.240' N 003°25.694'W
	59°00.587' N 003°25.711'W
	59°00.587' N 003°24.491'W
	58°58.393' N 003°22.391'W
	58°57.418' N 003°23.038'W
CorPack site points (bold red line)	58° 58.271' N 003° 24.240' W
	58° 58.569' N 003° 23.617' W
	58° 58.242' N 003° 23.029' W
	58° 57.944' N 003° 23.652' W




Figure 6. Billia Croo site and proposed CorPack wave cluster array location and configuration

2.4 Project Envelope Analysis

EMEC has developed a project envelope for testing activities at the Billia Croo (EMEC 2019). The envelope outlines the type and characteristics of the device likely to be deployed at the site and the types of marine operations and activities likely to be associated with the installation, operation and maintenance of the device. An environmental appraisal was undertaken to assess the potential environmental impacts of installation, operation and maintenance of devices within the envelope and cumulative impacts. The appraisal provides a detailed consideration of the potential natural heritage impacts and informs the consenting

process for deployment and operation of wave devices at the Billia Croo, within the project envelope.

With comparison to Billia Croo’s project envelope (EMEC 2019), it is believed that the project falls within EMEC’s project envelope. Endeavor Wave Limited are committed to providing the regulator with method statements, if required, prior to undertaking works.

3 Environmental Monitoring

The following sections describe the potential key environmental impact(s) considered relevant to the installation, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of the CorPack wave cluster at EMEC’s Billia Croo test site. Within the following sections is a summary of the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relating to each potential impact pathway for the relevant project phase. Any key findings from the monitoring will be disseminated to the regulator, Marine Scotland, and appropriate advisors, e.g., Nature Scot.

3.1 Disturbance/Displacement

Increased anthropogenic activity within the marine environment can potentially cause changes in the behaviour of receptors, particularly sensitive receptors such as cetaceans, seals, basking sharks and marine birds. There is potential to cause spatial displacement of essential activities for certain species due to increased activity in the area. This is likely to be most prevalent during the installation phase when there will be marked increase in vessel traffic accessing the site. In order to determine the significance of such a potential impact, there is a requirement to understand the importance of the habitat and the availability of alternative habitat elsewhere. The frequency of the impact in terms of duration will also be crucial in determining the significance of the impact. As the proposed testing location at the Billia Croo test site is close to the coastline, bird species utilising coastal breeding sites may be affected by the increased vessel traffic in the area. In addition, there is the potential to affect birds foraging success or moulting, if the testing is located within a key foraging area or a moulting site.

Vessels are only expected to be onsite for a maximum of 3 months in which array installation and vessel mooring removal should be completed. Given this project is an array of 5 devices, cumulative impacts of noise and presence may cause disturbance/displacement. However, the temporary nature of the increase vessel traffic onsite during installation is not expected to cause any significant impacts to seals, cetaceans, basking sharks and marine birds in the vicinity of the Billia Croo scale test site. Similar disturbance effects due to increased vessel traffic are expected on the decommissioning of the devices. This project is expected to run for 15 years which may cause a longer-term effect on receptors in terms of presence during the operational period and increased number of maintenance activities, however frequency of maintenance will not increase. Further detail on monitoring efforts is provided below in Section 5.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within disturbance/displacement.

Table 2. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway disturbance/displacement

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
All project phases			

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Disturbance – Presence or noise from vessel activity (including transiting to and from site)	Cetaceans, Basking shark	<p>Mitigation: Comply with the Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC), including the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vessel speeds will be reduced to 6 knots when a cetacean is sighted in close proximity to the immediate vessel transit route. • A steady speed and vessel course will be maintained if a cetacean approaches a vessel involved in marine operations. • Utmost care will be taken in ensuring groups and mothers and young are not split up by vessels. • Sudden changes in speed and direction will be avoided to reduce the likelihood of any further disturbance to cetaceans in the vicinity. <p>The completion of this mitigation measure will be dependent on ensuring safe navigation throughout activities, crew safety and completion of marine operations which are constrained by tidal or weather windows.</p>	Any incidents which deviate from this measure will be reported.
Harassment/Disturbance – Presence of vessel	Harbour and grey seals	Mitigation: SMWWC will be adhered to including	Any incidents which deviate from this

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
activity (including transiting to and from site)		<p>the measures outlined above. In addition, during all vessel activity a minimum approach distance will be complied with when passing designated seal haul-outs.</p> <p>Mitigation: Vessel routes used at a distance over 500m from any designated seal haul-site will be maintained where possible. This exclusion zone around haul-out sites will be maintained unless personnel or vessel safety does not permit.</p> <p>Mitigation: The sensitive periods for grey seals are between September and December whereas for harbour seals, it is late May through to August. These sensitive periods will be considered when planning marine operations involving transiting to and from and whilst conducting relevant work at EMEC test sites.</p>	measure will be reported.
Disturbance – Presence of vessel activity (including transiting to and from site)	Seabirds	<p>Mitigation: SMWWC will be adhered to including following particular measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rafts of birds will not be intentionally flushed. <p>During seabird breeding season (April to August inclusive), vessel transit corridors will be at least 50m from shore in the vicinity of cliff-nesting seabirds to avoid disturbance.</p>	Any incidents which deviate from this measure will be reported.
Installation			
Vessel activity – noise and presence may cause	Cetaceans, seals, basking	Mitigation:	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
minor disturbance/displacement (including when transiting to and from site)	sharks, seabirds	<p>Comply with the Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC), including the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Steady course and speed throughout operation. Reduction of speed upon sighting of receptor. Minimum approach distances will be adhered to. Sudden changes in speed, direction and engine noise will be avoided. Vessel will maintain at least 50m distance from coast during seabird breeding season. <p>Vessels will only be onsite for the minimum period of time required.</p>	be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Potential Installation of array and anchors – noise and presence may cause minor disturbance/displacement	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks	<p>Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.</p>	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Operation			
Presence of the array for 15 years has the potential to cause disturbance	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks	<p>Monitoring: Should funding become available, monitoring will be considered using sensors and cameras. If significant funding becomes available, then there will be opportunities for land or boat-based surveys</p>	A report will be produced if the data collection is conducted
Decommissioning			
Vessel activity – noise and presence may cause minor	Cetaceans, seals, basking	<p>Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code</p>	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
disturbance/displacement (including when transiting to and from site)	sharks, seabirds	(SMWWC) will be adhered to.	regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Potential installation of vessel mooring or dynamic positioning (DP) – noise and presence may cause minor disturbance/displacement	Cetaceans, seals, basking sharks	Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.

The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) was developed by NatureScot and is in line with Section 52 of the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004. Although the code has been developed to provide recommendations, advice and information relating to commercial and leisure activities involving the watching of marine wildlife, the code outlines best practice to follow when encountering marine wildlife, a likely event at the Billia Croo site. Magallanes are committed to following the SMWWC throughout all operations onsite and to and from site, providing that the health and safety of personnel is not compromised.

3.2 Acoustic impact

Noise disturbance can occur from the presence of vessels, drilling activities, anchoring, and survey activities. The creation of noise must be placed in the context of the existing environment, as other anthropogenic sources of marine noise may already be present within the area and mask the impact of any additional acoustic outputs. It is unlikely acute effects such as non-auditory/auditory tissue damage would be experienced as a consequence of the acoustic outputs from this project but behavioural effects due to disturbance are possible.

Noise disturbance effects may cause mobile species, such as cetaceans, seals and fish to move away from the immediate proximity of the installation site over the short term, but the impact is likely to be highly localised and temporary. Currently there is little known regarding importance of hearing underwater and hearing thresholds for diving birds but there is the potential for it to cause displacement, avoidance, reduction in foraging success or it may have no effect.

During anchor installation and decommissioning, it is expected that the vibro-hammer will cause minor acoustic impact to receptors. It is anticipated that the installation operation of the array will be completed in as short a timescale as possible, therefore, it is not expected that any significant effects to marine mammals, fish or marine birds will result from the installation phase. The same is true for maintenance and decommissioning operations.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within acoustic impact.

Table 3. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway acoustic impact

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Installation			

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Vessel activity – noise from increased activity will cause minor acoustic impact	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	<p>Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.</p> <p>Monitoring: Potentially monitoring noise using static and drifting passive acoustic recorders if funding is available.</p>	<p>Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.</p> <p>A report will be produced if the data collection is conducted</p>
Operation			
Noise from CorPack wave cluster generating may cause minor acoustic impact	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	<p>Monitoring: Potentially monitoring noise using static and drifting passive acoustic recorders if funding is available.</p>	A report will be produced if the data collection is conducted
Decommissioning			
Vessel activity – noise from increased activity will cause minor acoustic impact	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	<p>Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.</p>	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.

3.3 Collision and Entanglement Risk

It is unknown whether the potential exists for cetaceans, seals and basking shark to become entangled in mooring/wire lines of the size and dimensions required to tow the devices or moor the multicat vessels that will potentially be used during this operation. Dynamic Position (DP) vessels may also be used for the installation whereby no vessel mooring lines will be present. Entangled animals may drown or starve because they are restricted by mooring lines. Wire lines will be used to install the array which in themselves could present an entanglement risk. It is assumed the mooring/wire lines used within this project will not present a risk to diving seabirds. Marine mammals and basking sharks may suffer physical trauma and infections from a striking event involving vessels used during installation and decommissioning. In addition, entangled animals may also be unable to avoid vessels like they normally would, thus increasing the risk of collision.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within collision and entanglement risk.

Table 4. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway collision and entanglement risk

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Installation and decommissioning			
Vessel collision with large marine organisms	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Mitigation: The Scottish Marine Wildlife Watching Code (SMWWC) will be adhered to.	Any non-compliance with the SMWWC will be reported to the regulator as soon as notified by the vessel skipper.
Entanglement of large marine organisms in temporary boat moorings	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Mitigation: Mooring lines will be kept onsite for as short a period as possible.	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore.
Entanglement with array tow lines	Cetaceans, Seals, Basking Sharks	Monitoring: Potential for sensors, or cameras depending on funding available. Mitigation: Winching process is slow and infrequent, lines primarily taut.	Any events will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible on return to shore.

3.4 Biofouling and non-native species (NNS) introduction

Biofouling is the settlement of biological organisms on man-made structures. Fouling is often a gradual accumulation of organisms which develops over time. Biofouling may consist of microorganism such as bacteria or protozoa or macro-organisms such as barnacles or seaweed. Once installed, the CorPack wave cluster devices, and associated anchors will be deployed onsite for an extended period of time. This period is expected to provide fouling species an opportunity to settle and grow to maturity. The spread of non-native organisms can occur through a variety of means including shipping, transport of fish or shellfish; scientific research and public aquaria. These invasive non-native species can threaten marine diversity. Various guidelines and standards have been referred to in developing the proposed mitigation and monitoring measures (IMO, 2011). It is anticipated that a certain level of biofouling will accumulate, although it is not expected to pose a significant risk to introducing non-native species as movements will be limited to UK waters only. However, the introduction of hard substrate into the marine environment may also act as a 'stepping-stone' for non-native species. A device may act as locations for non-native species to grow in the area and thus provide a stepping-stone for colonization. Detrimental impacts of non-native species on native biota can occur through competition, predation, herbivory, habitat alteration and disease.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within biofouling and the introduction/transfer of non-native species.

Table 5. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway biofouling and introduction of non-native species

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Installation			
Introduction of non-native species (via vessel or equipment)	Benthic species and habitats, and benthic fish and shellfish	Mitigation: Where possible & practical local vessel and equipment will be used, reducing the potential for introduction of NNS. Compliance with good practice measures.	Any deviance from the good practice measures will be reported.
Operation			
Accumulation of biofouling on devices and anchors may alter local ecosystem	Benthic species and habitats, and benthic fish and shellfish	<p>Mitigation: Fouling resistant paint may be used (e.g., silicone based) but toxic (e.g., copper based) paint is not anticipated. The devices will be cleaned periodically. Antifouling paints used will comply with the IMO International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, and national legislation</p> <p>Monitoring: Inspection ROVs will also be used for additional inspection below water of the WEC hull subsurface, the mooring and anchoring, especially for the purpose of corrosion and biofouling monitoring.</p>	N/A
Decommissioning			
Introduction of non-native species (via vessel or equipment)	Benthic species and habitats, and benthic fish and shellfish	Mitigation: Where possible & practical local vessel and equipment will be used, reducing the	Any deviance from the good practice measures will be reported.

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
		potential for introduction of NNS. Compliance with good practice measures.	

Good practice measures refer to the ‘2011 guidelines for the control and management of ships biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species’ (International Maritime Organisation).

3.5 Habitat Creation

The installation and physical presence of the devices and associated anchor systems will inherently result in direct habitat loss. However, colonisation of the introduced structures may have the potential to function as artificial reefs or fish aggregating devices. The increase in the local reef extent may be limited due to the size and number of the devices therefore diminishing the significance of this impact. This artificial substrate could alter the nature and composition of the species present and may enable non-native species to colonise and potentially spread to other areas.

Cetacean, seal and seabird distribution may be influenced by prey distribution and associated prey habitat. The physical presence of the CorPack wave cluster may offer enhanced foraging efficiency for some species.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within habitat creation.

Table 6. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway habitat creation

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
Operation			
Fish aggregation device (FAD) effect and colonisation of fouling organisms due to introduction of hard structure (Devices and anchors)	Benthic species and habitats, and fish and shellfish	No significant impacts are expected; therefore, no specific monitoring measures are proposed.	N/A
Creation of habitat around installed infrastructure	Benthic species and habitats, and fish and shellfish	Monitoring: There is a likelihood of reef effects around the installed anchors. There is no proposed monitoring measure however, when the opportunity arises, any video footage of the moorings will be	Findings from analysis will be reported to the regulator as and when available.

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
		analysed to quantify the level of reefing taking place.	

3.6 Seabed Clearance

During the installation phase, the deployment of potential vessel moorings and device anchors will cause a loss of benthic habitat. Each anchor is expected to have a circumference of 3.7m and will be vibrated 18m into the seabed. Small amounts of lost habitat may diminish populations of species that are recorded as rare. However, vessel moorings and device anchors tend to have a small footprint and are temporary deposits.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway within seabed clearance.

Table 7. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway seabed clearance

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
All project phases			
Seabed clearance and habitat loss/disturbance from installation and removal of equipment	Benthic species and habitats, and fish and shellfish	Mitigation: Drop-camera will be used during anchor installation and if any sensitive receptors are identified during installation, then these areas will be avoided through micro-siting of the anchors on the seabed.	N/A
Installation of anchors causes damage to cultural heritage or archaeological objects within the site.	Cultural heritage and archaeological objects	Mitigation: The appropriate consultation with HES will be undertaken if cultural heritage or archaeological objects are found during this operation.	The regulator and HES will be consulted if any cultural or archaeological objects are found during the operation.

3.7 Discharges to the Marine Environment

Benthic species may be exposed to materials such as paints, hydraulic fuels and antifouling compounds originating directly from the devices. Accidental spillages from installation or maintenance vessels could also occur. Spillages pose a risk to marine mammals, fish, seabirds and benthic communities and can cause direct effects at the time of the spill or can result in chemical accumulation in body tissues leading to lagged effects on health and

breeding success. Nevertheless, any fluid leakage which manages to escape into the main body of the device will be collected and later disposed safely onshore. All oils/lubricants used in the internal auxiliary systems are marine approved.

When onshore, all fluids will be stored in a suitable COSHH store, and all wastes will be disposed of in line with legislative requirements.

The following table summarises the proposed monitoring and mitigation measures for the relevant project phase relating to each potential impact pathway for discharges to the marine environment.

Table 8. Proposed monitoring and mitigation measures relevant to the impact pathway discharges to the marine environment

Relevant Project Phase	Impact Pathway	Receptor	Proposed Mitigation/Monitoring Measure
All project phases			
Leakage of fuel or chemicals from vessels involved with installation, maintenance and decommissioning can enter the food-web at any trophic level	Potentially whole local ecosystem	Mitigation: Vessel crews should follow standard procedures to avoid fuel and chemical spills. Suitable spill kits should be onboard all vessels involved in the project.	Any incidents will be reported to the regulator as soon as possible.
Operation			
Corrosion of materials used to construct the devices and anchors introduce toxins to environment and disrupt ecosystem dynamics	Potentially whole local ecosystem	Mitigation: N/A Monitoring: Inspection ROVs will also be used for additional inspection below water of the WEC hull subsurface, the mooring and anchoring, especially for the purpose of corrosion and biofouling monitoring.	N/A

4 Cultural Heritage Impacts

4.1 Prehistoric sites

Inferences can be made on the potential for the survival of prehistoric deposits in the area of Billia Croo from coring, bathymetric, side scan sonar (SSS) and sub-bottom profile (SBP) data

obtained by various surveys in and close to the test site and observations made during numerous diving operations at various devices and in the general area by SULA Diving.

SSS surveys combined with data from SBP surveys and other studies indicate that there is a transition from exposed bedrock (inshore) to mobile sandy sediments around the 20-25 m contour. Surficial deposits in the area of the EMEC test site are predominantly mobile or featureless sand interspersed with intermittent glacial erratic's and patches of coarser sediment interpreted as glacial till deposits since they tend to correlate with areas of deeper sediment deposition and a change in seabed topography. Where present, deposits appear to be 1-10 m thick, overlying bedrock.

In summary, in the offshore lease area, the extension area, and the route to shore at Billia Croo (inshore lease area), the potential for the survival of prehistoric deposits is negligible-low, especially because most of the site is exposed bedrock, or mobile sediments comprising sandy gravels and gravelly sands.

4.2 Shipwrecks, aircraft, and obstructions

No marine cultural heritage statutory designations have been identified in the Billia Croo test site area. There are no UK Hydrographic Office (UKHO) reports showing the existence of any wrecks within the area and none shown on the relevant UKHO charts.

A total of fifteen shipwrecks were identified for this area. No exact wreck positions are known, but the records must be taken as indicative of the potential for wrecks (and artefacts) having been present in the area at some point in time. Considering the nature of the shoreline, the weather and sea conditions experienced along this coast, it is unlikely that the vessels remain intact and in the reported area of foundering, or that any remains survive. The majority of vessels lost in the area were wooden sailing ships stranded in the shallows and many are listed as being broken up and salvaged at the time. Those that came ashore in heavy weather were inevitably broken up by the sea on the rock-strewn coastline. Similarly, later vessels of iron construction were the result of stranding's and, given the exposed rocky nature of the coastline and environmental conditions in the area, are not likely to remain intact unless buried in sediment

Multi-beam bathymetry and side scan sonar tend not to be able to distinguish between the wreck and the geology of the seabed. Magnetometry is the only method of determining if a wreck may be present. Given that the area is mostly exposed bedrock and any sediment being shallow and mobile, it is unlikely that much, if anything, survives and nothing has been observed during SULA Diving investigations in the area.

5 Environmental monitoring

CorPower Ocean deployed their Hiwave-5 deployment in Portugal (2022-2024). During this project they carried out environmental monitoring campaigns to assess the effects of their WECs on the marine environment and ecosystem. These campaigns included cetacean acoustics and visual observations, underwater noise monitoring using hydrophones and assessing colonisation of equipment. CorPower Ocean will be able to share this data with the necessary stakeholders such as NatureScot and Marine Scotland Science once a finalised document is produced. Should funding be available, similar campaigns could be conducted at Billia Croo during the CorPack wave cluster deployment.

6 Supporting Documentation

EMEC Billia Croo Project Envelope REP646 (EMEC 2019)

The European Marine Energy Centre Limited

The Charles Clouston Building, ORIC, Back Road, Stromness, ORKNEY, KW16 3AW

Tel: 01856 852060

Email: info@emec.org.uk

Web: www.emec.org.uk

Registered in Scotland no.SC249331

VAT Registration Number: GB 828 8550 90

