



Appendix 11.5: Offshore Ornithology EIA Population Viability Analysis Technical Report

Array EIA Report





Revision	Comments	Author	Checker	Approver
FINAL	Final	NIRAS/RPS	RPS	RPS

Approval for Issue						
For and on behalf of Ossian OWFL			28 June 2024			

Prepared by:	RPS Energy
Prepared for:	Ossian Offshore Wind Farm Limited (OWFL)
Checked by:	Andrew Logie
Accepted by:	Fraser Malcolm
Approved by:	Andrew Blyth

© Copyright RPS Group Plc. All rights reserved.

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client.

The report has been compiled using the resources agreed with the client and in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client. No liability is accepted by RPS for any use of this report, other than the purpose for which it was prepared. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. RPS does not accept any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report.

RPS accepts no responsibility for any documents or information supplied to RPS by others and no legal liability arising from the use by others of opinions or data contained in this report. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by others has been made.

RPS has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in compiling this report and no warranty is provided as to the report's accuracy.



CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
	1.1. Background	1
	1.2. Aim of the Report	1
2.	Methology	1
	2.1. Modelling Approach	2
	2.2. Simulation Parameters	2
	2.3. Model ParameteriSation	2
	2.3.1. Demographic Rates	2
	2.4. Populations	4
	2.4.1. Impact scenarios	4
3.	Input Parameters	4
	3.1. Cumulative	4
	3.1.1. Guillemot	4
	3.1.2. Razorbill	5
	3.1.3. Puffin	5
	3.1.4. Gannet	5
	3.1.5. Kittiwake	6
	3.1.6. Herring Gull	7
4.	Cumulative PVA assessment Outputs	7
	4.1. Results: After 25 Years	7
	4.1.1. Guillemot	7
	4.1.2. Razorbill	8
	4.1.3. Puffin	9
	4.1.4. Gannet	9
	4.1.5. Kittiwake	11
	4.1.6. Herring Gull	13
	4.2. Results: After 35 Years	13
	4.2.1. Guillemot	13
	4.2.2. Razorbill	24
	4.2.3. Puffin	35
	4.2.4. Gannet	
	4.2.5. Kittiwake	50
	4.2.6. Herring Gull	66
	4.3. Results: After 50 Years	70

4.3.1.	Guillemot
4.3.2.	Razorbill
4.3.3.	Puffin
4.3.4.	Gannet
4.3.5.	Kittiwake
4.3.6.	Herring Gull

5. References



	 70
	 70
	71
	72
	73
	75
	70
••••••	

TABLES

Table 2.1:	Demographic Rates for Key Species. Derived from Horswill and Robinson (2015)	3
Table 2.2:	Biologically Defined Population Scales for Use in the Assessment (Furness, 2015)	4
Table 3.1:	Guillemot Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement	4
Table 3.2:	Razorbill Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement	5
Table 3.3:	Puffin Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement	5
Table 3.4:	Gannet Relative Harvest PVA Input from Collision	5
Table 3.5:	Gannet Relative Harvest PVA Input from Combined Displacement and Collision	5
Table 3.6:	Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement	6
Table 3.7:	Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Collision	6
Table 3.8:	Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Combined Displacement and Collision	6
Table 3.9:	Herring Gull Relative Harvest PVA Input for the UK Western Waters BDMPS	7
Table 4.1:	Guillemot 25 Year PVA Results	7
Table 4.2:	Razorbill 25 Year PVA Results	8
Table 4.3:	Puffin 25 Year PVA Results	9
Table 4.4:	Gannet 25 Year PVA Results	10
Table 4.5:	Kittiwake 25 Year PVA Results	11
Table 4.6:	Herring Gull 25 Year PVA Results	13
Table 4.7:	Guillemot 35 Year PVA Results	14
Table 4.8:	Razorbill 35 Year PVA Results	24
Table 4.9:	Puffin 35 Year PVA Results	35
Table 4.10:	Gannet 35 Year PVA Results	39
Table 4.11:	Kittiwake 35 Year PVA Results	51
Table 4.12:	Herring Gull 35 Year PVA Results	66
Table 4.13:	Guillemot 50 Year PVA Results	70
Table 4.14:	Razorbill 50 Year PVA Results	71
Table 4.15:	Puffin 50 Year PVA Results	71
Table 4.16:	Gannet 50 Year PVA Results	72
Table 4.17:	Kittiwake 50 Year PVA Results	73
Table 4.18:	Herring Gull 50 Year PVA Results	75

FIGURES

Figure 4.1	Guillemot Population Projection over 35 years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impac Scenarios
Figure 4.2	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Guillemot Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios

Figure 4.3	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.4	Guillemot Population Projection over 35 years during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.5	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Guillemot Population during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.6	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population during the Non-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.7	Annual Guillemot Population Projection over 35 years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.8	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Guillemot Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenario
Figure 4.9	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.10	Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.11	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Razorbill Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.12	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.13	Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.14	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Razorbill Population during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.15	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population during the Non-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.16	Annual Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.17	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Razorbill Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.18	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.19	Puffin Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.20	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.21	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.22	Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.23	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Gannet Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.24	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



es for the Guillemot Population during the Breeding a Range of Impact Scenarios17
ng the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact
or the Guillemot Population during the Non-breeding 19
for the Guillemot Population during the Non-breeding a Range of Impact Scenarios20
ars under a Range of Impact Scenarios
zes for the Guillemot Population Annually from the ct Scenarios
ring the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact
the Razorbill Population during the Breeding Season 27
tes for the Razorbill Population during the Breeding a Range of Impact Scenarios28
g the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact 29
or the Razorbill Population during the Non-breeding
s for the Razorbill Population during the Non-breeding a Range of Impact Scenarios
ars under a Range of Impact Scenarios
the Razorbill Population Annually under a Range of 33
izes for the Razorbill Population Annually from the ct Scenarios
ng the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact
or the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season
for the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season of Impact Scenarios
ng the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact 41
r the Gannet Population during the Breeding Season 42
zes for the Gannet Population during the Breeding

Figure 4.25	Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.26	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Gannet Population during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.27	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population during the Post-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.28	Annual Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios47
Figure 4.29	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Gannet Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.30	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.31	Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Pre-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.32	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population during the Pre-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.33	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population during the Pre-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.34	Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.35	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.36	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.37	Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.38	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.39	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population during the Post-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.40	Annual Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios63
Figure 4.41	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.42	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenario
Figure 4.43	Herring Gull Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.44	Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Herring Gull Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios
Figure 4.45	The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Herring Gull Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



INTRODUCTION 1.

1.1. BACKGROUND

- 1. Seabirds can be impacted by offshore wind developments in a number of ways, including collision with wind turbine blades resulting in mortality, and displacement from an area due to the presence of wind turbines. These processes affect individuals, but the cumulative effects (when the project alone effects are considered alongside any effects from other projects on the same receptor) have the potential to affect the productivity or elevate the baseline mortality of a population. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process allows for evaluating the potential impacts of offshore wind farms on different population scales.
- 2. In the case of breeding seabirds, NatureScot (2023c) considers barrier effects alongside displacement as 'distributional responses'. This is because distinguishing between barrier effects and displacement effects can be challenging for breeding seabirds foraging in the region. Therefore, for the purpose of the PVA assessment, the term 'displacement' is used throughout this report to encompass both habitat displacement effects and barrier effects.
- 3. One method to estimate the potential effect that offshore wind projects alone or cumulatively may have on a population is through Population Viability Analysis (PVA). PVA provides a robust framework using demographic parameters to predict changes in the population, using statistical population models to forecast future changes over a set period. Comparisons are made between 'baseline' conditions whereby conditions remain unimpacted and under 'scenario' conditions where an impact is applied to a population by the alteration of demographic parameters. Population metrics that are derived from comparisons of 'baseline' and 'impacted scenarios' predictions generated by PVAs can then be used to assess the significance of the anticipated additional mortality associated with planned developments. Assessing the acceptability of the impact involves evaluating biological responses alongside statutory, policy, and other relevant considerations. There is no universally defined threshold for what constitutes an 'acceptable' level of impact; rather, determinations will be population-specific and guided by a comprehensive analysis of these factors.
- PVA was carried out as part of the Ossian Array (hereafter referred to as "the Array") cumulative 4. assessment due to volume 3, chapter 11 indicating that baseline mortality due to the cumulative impact during the operation and maintenance phase was exceeding a 1% baseline mortality threshold for multiple seabird populations. Generally, based on findings from PVA for bird species, it would be considered that increases in mortality rates of less than 1% would be undetectable in terms of changes in population size. whereas increases above 1% may produce detectable effects (Natural England, 2022) and hence require further assessment. No PVA was required for the Array alone assessment due to impacts not exceeding the 1% threshold.
- 5. Cumulative PVAs were modelled for the following impacts, bird species and populations:
 - displacement (with Berwick Bank (BB) Offshore Wind Farm impacts included):
 - kittiwake Rissa tridactyla (breeding season, annual);
 - guillemot Uria aalge (breeding season, non-breeding season, annual);
 - puffin Fratercula arctica (breeding season); and
 - razorbill Alca torda (breeding season, non-breeding season, annual).
 - displacement (with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm impacts excluded):
 - kittiwake (annual); _
 - guillemot (breeding season, non-breeding, annual); _
 - puffin (breeding season); and
 - razorbill (breeding, non-breeding, annual).

- collision (with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm impacts included):
 - kittiwake (pre-breeding, breeding, annual); _
 - herring gull Larus argentatus (breeding); and
 - gannet Morus bassanus (annual).
- collision (with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm impacts excluded):
 - kittiwake (breeding, annual); and
 - gannet (annual).
- combined displacement and collision (with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm impacts included):
- kittiwake (pre-breeding, breeding, post-breeding, annual); and
- gannet (breeding, post-breeding, annual). _
- combined displacement and collision (with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm impacts excluded):
 - kittiwake (pre-breeding, breeding, annual); and _
 - gannet (post-breeding, annual).

1.2. AIM OF THE REPORT

- 6. This technical report presents the PVA process conducted for the EIA for the Array along with other offshore wind farms in the surrounding area. Projects that overlapped with a species' mean-maximum foraging range plus one standard deviation during the breeding season, and situated within the Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scale (BDMPS) region for that species during the non-breeding and migration periods, were included in the cumulative assessment. Specific details regarding these plans and projects can be found in volume 3, chapter 11, selected based on Woodward et al. (2019) foraging range data for each species. Guidance for guillemot and razorbill from NatureScot (2023a) was incorporated for designated sites and establishing a regional breeding population; i.e. for all designated sites south of the Pentland Firth, mean max plus one standard deviation foraging ranges were determined discounting Fair Isle values as presented in Woodward et al. (2019). BDMPS regions used were those as defined in Furness (2015).
- 7. For the EIA, PVAs were conducted on combined populations comprising colonies from designated sites and populations from BDMPS regions, as outlined in Furness (2015). This process involved collating designated sites and their respective populations to create an overarching population representative of the regional breeding populations. For PVAs specific to individual designated site populations, refer to the Array Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA) Appendix 3B (Ossian OWFL, 2024).

METHOLOGY 2.

- 8. This software has a user-friendly interface and another series of code tools for direct use. Both are written within the computer software 'R' (R is a free software environment for statistical computing and graphics) and are intended to give the same fundamental calculations. The underlying R-code within the nepva R package which underpins the nepva tool was used directly to perform the modelling and analysis for this technical report. The R-code uses nepva version 2 tools as a basis (Mobbs et al., 2020) (tool v 2.0, nepva R package: v 4.17), as found within the associated Natural England GitHub repository (Natural England, 2020). All analysis was conducted using R version 4.3.2 for Windows (R Core Team, 2023).
- 9. The code constructs a stochastic Leslie Matrix and can assess any type of impact in terms of change to demographic parameters, or as a cull or harvest of a fixed size per year (Searle et al., 2019). PVAs were run for a 25, 35 and 50 year timespan, for species and populations where a potentially significant effect was identified (either when applying the Applicant's Approach, the NatureScot lower range and/or the



PVA was undertaken using the Seabird PVA Tool developed by Natural England (Searle et. al., 2019).

NatureScot upper range). All runs were set with inputs to replicating those set out in the nepva online tool as detailed below.

2.1. MODELLING APPROACH

- 10. All PVA models were undertaken using the 'nepva.fullrun' function within the nepva R package, which is used to simulate population trajectories based on the specified demographic parameters, initial population sizes and impact scenarios the user inputs into the model.
- 11. The tool includes an option to run the model as either density independent, or density dependent. Density dependence is self-evident in the natural environment, as without density dependence, populations would grow exponentially. For seabird populations, the mechanisms as to how this operates are largely uncertain. If density dependence is mis-specified in an assessment, the modelled predictions may be unreliable. Therefore, it is more typical to use density independent models for seabird assessments, despite the lack of biologically necessary density dependence. As such, density independent models lack any means by which a population can recover once it has been reduced beyond a certain point. They are therefore appropriate for impact assessment purposes on the grounds of precaution (Ridge et al., 2019). For the PVA runs undertaken within this technical report all models were therefore run using density independence.
- Environmental stochasticity, which accounts for the variation arising from environmental changes affecting 12. individuals in the same group (e.g. between-year differences in weather conditions), was incorporated in the models at the level of productivity and survival rates. For each simulated year, a value for each demographic rate was randomly generated from a probability distribution defined by the mean and standard deviation (SD) estimates of that rate for the population under consideration.
- Demographic stochasticity, which accounts for individual-level variation affecting transition probabilities 13. between age-classes, was included in the models. For large populations, like the ones considered in this analysis, the effects of environmental stochasticity are deemed more important than those associated with demographic stochasticity (Morris and Doak, 2002). However, including demographic stochasticity will not cause any issues when simulating larger populations (Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust (WWT) Consulting, 2012) and hence has been included.
- 14. PVA outputs can either be expressed as the Counterfactual of Population Size (CPS) or the Counterfactual of the Population Growth Rate (CPGR) depending on whether density dependence is included within the model. As models within this technical report have been run using density independence, the CPGR is considered more robust and informative than the CPS. While both CPS and CPGR are provided as requested by NatureScot (2023b), the interpretation of the density independent PVA outputs focusses on the CPGR.
- 15. Additionally, the quantile from the unimpacted population that matched the 50% quantile for the impacted population (U=50%I) and the quantile from the impacted population that matched the 50% quantile for the unimpacted population (I=50%U) has been presented. These quantiles provide a baseline against which the impacted population can be evaluated, aiding in assessing the magnitude of impact and potential consequences.

2.2. SIMULATION PARAMETERS

All PVA modelling in this technical report was undertaken with environmental and demographic 16. stochasticity. To ensure robust results, all simulations were set to run 5,000 times (5,000 runs is regarded as the standard approach and has been utilised in several offshore wind applications such as Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm, Awel Y Mor Offshore Wind Farm, Mona and Morgan Offshore Wind Farms, Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm and Green Volt Offshore Wind Farm). All models were run for a 50 year time span (to include the lifetime of the Array and beyond). Results are presented for a 35 year time

span (the expected lifetime of the Array) alongside a 25 year and 50 year span (as recommended in NatureScot guidance (NatureScot, 2023b)).

- 17. Modelling has also been undertaken including a five year 'burn in' period within the model. Applying a 'burn in' period allows for a stable age structure to form when starting to run the model. Within the model, impacts were set to commence from the year the Array is anticipated to start operating (2039) and run for 50 years.
- Although impacts are only reported with respect to the adult numbers, impacts within the simulations were 18. also applied proportionally to immature age-classes (based upon the stable age distribution from eigendecomposition of the Leslie Matrix: Searle et al., 2019).
- 19. For the purpose of the Array EIA Report, the assessment has considered the impact on all birds and has not been corrected for sabbaticals. Further consideration on the relevance of sabbatical birds to estimating impacts on designated breeding populations is given in the Array RIAA (Ossian OWFL, 2024).
- 20. Impacted vs unimpacted comparisons were based on a matched runs approach, whereby stochasticity is applied to the population before impacts are applied (i.e. survival and productivity rates simulated at each time step are the same for the unimpacted and impacted populations before additional impact mortalities are deducted from simulated survivals for the impacted populations). This approach has been used as previous analyses demonstrated that stochastic models using a matched runs approach were likely to be the most precautionary (Cook and Robinson, 2017). Productivity rates used within the analysis were therefore unaffected by impacts from the offshore wind farm.

2.3. MODEL PARAMETERISATION

2.3.1. DEMOGRAPHIC RATES

- 21. The survival rates for the species considered were derived from the national values presented in Horswill and Robinson (2015), with updated productivity values taken from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and the British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) (JNCC, 2023) (Table 2.1). These values matched with those set out within the most recent version of the nepva tool.
- 22. Survival rates vary depending on age class, with 0 to 1 used to represent birds below the age of one, age class 1 to 2 used to represent birds aged one, age class 2 to 3 representing two year olds and so on. Adults are grouped together as survival rates are consistent between adult aged birds regardless of actual age (e.g. seven year olds have the same survival rate as eight year olds and so on) (Table 2.1).



				Age Class (Years)						Productivity	
Species	Age at First Breeding	Eggs per Pair	Parameter	Juvenile	0 to 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	Adult	(Chicks per Pair)
Cuillomot	G	1	Survival	N/A	0.56	0.792	0.917	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.583
Guillemot	0	I	Proportion in population	N/A	0.153	0.084	0.065	0.058	0.053	0.587	
Desertill	_		Survival	N/A	0.63	0.63	0.895	0.895	N/A	0.895	0.500
Razordili	5	1	Proportion in population	N/A	0.155	0.099	0.064	0.059	N/A	0.623 0.532	0.532
Duffin	5	1	Survival	N/A	0.709	0.709	0.709	0.76	0.805	0.906	0 555
Pullin		1	Proportion in population	N/A	0.155	0.113	0.082	0.06	0.046	0.544	0.555
Connet	F	4	Survival	N/A	0.424	0.829	0.891	0.895	0.895	0.919	0.700
Gannet	Э	1	Proportion in population	N/A	0.201	0.084	0.069	0.061	0.054	0.531	0.766
Kittiwaka	4	0	Survival	N/A	0.79	0.854	0.854	0.854	N/A	0.854	0.040
Kittiwake	4	Z	Proportion in population	N/A	0.16	0.126	0.107	0.09	N/A	0.517	0.619
Herring gull	F	2	Survival	N/A	0.798	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.409
	Э	3	Proportion in population	N/A	0.132	0.11	0.096	0.084	0.073	0.505	0.490

 Table 2.1:
 Demographic Rates for Key Species. Derived from Horswill and Robinson (2015)



2.4. POPULATIONS

- 23. During the breeding season, the population derived from the Array alone assessment was utilised within the PVA modelling. Populations were derived using each species' foraging range as detailed within volume 3, appendix 11.1. Breeding populations used within the PVAs are shown in Table 2.2. Baseline mortality was estimated using the respective demographic rates for each species, as detailed in Table 2.1.
- 24. During the non-breeding season, impacts are put into the context of the BDMPS for each species (Table 2.2). Baseline mortality was estimated using the respective demographic rates for each species, as detailed in Table 2.1.
- 25. For the annual assessment, the population is defined as the largest of the individual seasonal regional populations, as further detailed within volume 3, appendix 11.1.

Species	Season	Region	BDMPS (no. of birds)	Baseline Mortality (no. of birds)
Guillemot	Breeding	Foraging Range	916,667	121,733
	Non-breeding	United Kingdom (UK) North Sea and Channel Waters	1,617,306	214,778
	Annual	UK North Sea and Channel Waters	1,617,306	214,778
Razorbill	Breeding	Foraging Range	54,552	9,399
	Non-breeding	UK North Sea and Channel Waters	218,622	37,669
	Annual	UK North Sea and Channel Waters	591,874	101,980
Puffin	Breeding	Foraging Range	279,803	49,357
Gannet	Breeding	Foraging Range	763,577	147,141
	Post-breeding	UK North Sea and Channel Waters	456,298	87,929
	Annual	Foraging Range	763,577	147,141
Kittiwake	Pre-breeding	UK North Sea Waters	627,816	98,065
	Breeding	Foraging Range	261,047	40,776
	Post-breeding	UK North Sea Waters	829,937	129,636
	Annual	UK North Sea Waters	829,937	129,636
Herring gull	Breeding	Foraging Range	13,836	2,363

Table 2.2: Biologically Defined Population Scales for Use in the Assessment (Furness, 2015)

2.4.1. IMPACT SCENARIOS

- The impact from the Array cumulatively with surrounding offshore wind farms has been parametrised as a 26. 'relative harvest' (i.e. the increase in baseline mortality rate as a result of the impact).
- 27. Note that for the purposes of the PVA model, specifying a relative harvest means the absolute number of birds that are expected to suffer mortality as a result of the Array is proportional to the population size. This is in line with the assessment approach for both collision risk and displacement analysis.

- 28. Each simulation run within the PVA model was paired with an impact scenario that included additional population-level mortality due to wind turbine collision or displacement effects. This additional mortality was calculated as a proportion of the starting population and applied to the adult age class only. This way, the number of additional deaths scaled proportionately with changes to the simulated number of breeding adults in the population.
- 29. For all six species and the relevant seasons, a range of impact levels has been modelled based on the cumulative impact values provided in volume 3, chapter 11. It is worth noting that only the impact scenarios that surpassed the 1% threshold have been taken forward to PVA modelling. Impact scenarios and input parameters for each run and for each species are presented in section 3.

3. INPUT PARAMETERS

3.1. CUMULATIVE

3.1.1. GUILLEMOT

The displacement values used in the PVA assessment for guillemot (Table 3.1) are based on the 30. Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) presented in volume 3, chapter 11.

Table 3.1:	Guillemot Relative	Harvest PVA	Input from D

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Off	shore Wind Farm Im	pacts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	2,406	0.002625
		60% displacement, 5% mortality	4,010	0.004375
	Non-breeding	60% displacement, 1% mortality	2,395	0.001481
		60% displacement, 3% mortality	7,184	0.004442
	Annual	60% displacement, 1% mortality	4,801	0.002969
		60% displacement, 3% mortality	11,194	0.006921
Applicant's Approach	Annual	50% displacement, 1% mortality	2,664	0.001647
Without Berwick Bank	Offshore Wind Farm	Impacts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	60% displacement, 5% mortality	1,786	0.001948
	Non-breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	6,389	0.003950
	Annual	60% displacement, 1% mortality	3,201	0.001979
		60% displacement, 3% mortality	8,175	0.005055



isplacement

3.1.2. RAZORBILL

The displacement values used in the PVA assessment for razorbill (Table 3.2) are based on the CEA 31. presented in volume 3, chapter 11.

Table 3.2: **Razorbill Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement**

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impa	icts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	336	0.006159
		60% displacement, 5% mortality	560	0.010265
	Non-breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	623	0.002850
	Annual	60% displacement, 1% mortality	1,213	0.005548
		60% displacement, 3% mortality	3,192	0.005393
Without Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind Farm Ir	npacts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	263	0.004821
		60% displacement, 5% mortality	439	0.008047
	Non-breeding	60% displacement, 3% mortality	597	0.002731
	Annual	60% displacement, 1% mortality	1,034	0.001747
		60% displacement, 3% mortality	2,752	0.004650

3.1.3. PUFFIN

32. The displacement values used in the PVA assessment for puffin (Table 3.3) are based on the CEA presented in volume 3, chapter 11.

Table 3.3: Puffin Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impac	cts		
	Breeding	60% displacement, 5% mortality	774	0.002766
Without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impacts				
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	60% displacement, 5% mortality	638	0.002280

3.1.4. GANNET

The collision and combined displacement and collision values used in the PVA assessment for gannet 33. (Table 3.4 and Table 3.5) are based on the CEA presented in volume 3, chapter 11.

Gannet Relative Harvest PVA Input from Collision Table 3.4:

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)	
With Berwick Bank Offs	shore Wind Farm Impa	cts			
NatureScot Approach	Post-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate	1,052.48	0.002307	
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate	1,966.19	0.002575	
Without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impacts					
NatureScot Approach	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate	1,774.78	0.002324	

Table 3.5: Gannet Relative Harvest PVA Input from Combined Displacement and Collision

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impac	cts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,662	0.002177
	Post breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,218	0.001595
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	0.005247



Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
		0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	3,249	0.004255
Applicant's Approach	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	0.003135
Without Berwick Bank (Offshore Wind Farm In	npacts	•	
NatureScot Approach	Post-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,169	0.002562
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	0.002825
		0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	2,922	0.003827
Applicant's Approach	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	0.002825

3.1.5. KITTIWAKE

The displacement and collision (Table 3.6 and Table 3.7) and the combined displacement and collision 34. values (Table 3.8) used in the PVA assessment for kittiwake are based on the CEA presented in volume 3, chapter 11.

Table 3.6: Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Displacement

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)	
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impac	cts			
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	30% displacement, 3% mortality	566	0.002168	
	Annual	30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,923	0.002317	
Without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impacts					
NatureScot Approach	Annual	30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,508	0.001817	

Table 3.7: Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Collision				
Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Off	shore Wind Farm Impa	cts		
NatureScot Approach	Pre-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate	1,020.62	0.001626
	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate	1,514.44	0.005801
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate	3,572.30	0.004304
Without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impacts				
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate	897.44	0.003438
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate	2,586.30	0.003116

Table 3.8: Kittiwake Relative Harvest PVA Input from Combined Displacement and Collision

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impa	cts		
NatureScot Approach	Pre-Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,225	0.001951
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,634	0.002603
	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,703	0.006524
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	2,080	0.007968
	Post-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,781	0.002146
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	4,213	0.005076
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	5,495	0.006621
Applicant's Approach	Pre-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,225	0.001951
	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,703	0.006524
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	4,213	0.005076



Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
Without Berwick Bank	Offshore Wind Farm In	npacts		
NatureScot Approach	Pre-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,005	0.001601
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,331	0.002120
	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,023	0.003919
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,273	0.004877
	Post-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,490	0.001795
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	3,089	0.003722
		0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	4,094	0.004933
Applicant's Approach	Pre-breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1.005	0.000002
	Breeding	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,023	0.001233
	Annual	0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	3,089	0.003722

3.1.6. HERRING GULL

The collision values used in the PVA assessment for herring gull (Table 3.9) are based on the CEA 35. presented in volume 3, chapter 11).

Table 3.9: Herring Gull Relative Harvest PVA Input for the UK Western Waters BDMPS

Approach	Season	Impact Rates	Predicted Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)	Predicted Impact on Adult Survival Rate (no. of absolute mortalities)
With Berwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Farm Impa	cts		
NatureScot Approach	Breeding	0.994 avoidance rate	64.4	0.00466

4. CUMULATIVE PVA ASSESSMENT OUTPUTS

36. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms on each species outlined in section 3.1 at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan (section 4.1), the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years; section 4.2) and a 50 year timespan (section 4.3) are presented below. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenarios (i.e. assuming no additional mortality other than baseline mortality exists) is also shown for comparison purposes. Graphs relating to population size, CPS and CPGR for each impact scenario for the lifetime of the Array are also presented.

4.1. RESULTS: AFTER 25 YEARS

4.1.1. GUILLEMOT

37. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the guillemot UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.1. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.1: **Guillemot 25 Year PVA Results**

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Dens	ity-Indep	endence (after	25 years)	Quantiles	
		(mpact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Of	ffshore Wind	Farm Impac	ts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5%	2,406	0.9915 0.9690	0.9670	0.4185	3.30%	58.15% 76.98%	0	100
N 1	mortality	<u> </u>	4 0054	4 000	4.000	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0254	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	2,395	1.0223	0.9972	0.9300	0.28%	7.00%	35.40	65.28

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original	Growth Rate	Dens	ity-Indep	endence (after	25 years)	Quantiles	
		(mpact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank O	ffshore Wind	Farm Impac	ts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	2,406	0.9915	0.9670	0.4185	3.30%	58.15% 76.98%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0254	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	2,395	1.0223	0.9972	0.9300	0.28%	7.00%	35.40	65.28



Season	eason Scenario Predicted Growth Density-Independence (after 25 years) Mortality Rate							Quar	ntiles
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	7,184	1.0173	0.9916	0.8037	0.84%	19.63%	13.12	87.68
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0254	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	4,801	1.0194	0.9944	0.8643	0.56%	13.57%	22.96	77.72
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	11,194	1.0118	0.9870	0.7110	1.30%	28.90%	3.68	96.32
	Applicant's Approach - 50% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	2,664	1.0220	0.9969	0.9224	0.31%	7.76%	34.04	66.28
Without I	Berwick Ban	k Offshore W	ind Farm Im	pacts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	1,786	1.0001	0.9755	0.5251	2.45%	47.49%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0254	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	6,839	1.0170	0.9920	0.8123	0.80%	18.77%	14.12	86.32
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0254	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A		
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	3,201	1.0213	0.9963	0.9075	0.37%	9.25%	31.28	69.64
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	8,175	1.0154	0.9905	0.7798	0.95%	22.02%	10.08	90.48

4.1.2. RAZORBILL

38. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the razorbill UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.2. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.2:	Razorbill 25	Year PVA	Results

	Mortality	Rate	Densit	y-Independ	Quantiles			
	(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
wick Bank Of	fshore Wind	Farm Impac	cts					
Baseline	0	0.9770	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	336	0.9522	0.9747	0.5136	2.53%	48.64%	4.4	96.84
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	560	0.9357	0.9579	0.3265	4.21%	67.35%	0.28	99.96
Baseline	0	0.9774	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	623	0.9700	0.9931	0.8358	0.69%	16.42%	32.88	66.80
Baseline	0	0.9775	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,213	0.9721	0.9953	0.8848	0.47%	11.52%	38.24	62.04
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	3,192	0.9646	0.9876	0.7231	1.24%	27.69%	20.64	79.04
Berwick Banl	COffshore W	ind Farm Im	pacts					
Baseline	0	0.9770	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	263	0.9575	0.9802	0.5945	1.98%	40.55%	9.2	92.56
	vick Bank Of Baseline NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality Baseline NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality Baseline NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality Baseline NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	Wortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)wick Bank Offshore WindBaseline0NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality336NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality560Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality560Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality560NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality623Baseline0NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality1,213Baseline0NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality3,192Paperoach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3,192NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3,192Parket BankOffshore WBaseline0NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality263Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality263	Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)Rate (Annual GR) (Annual GR)wick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impact birds)GR) 0.9770NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3360.9522NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality5600.9357NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality5600.9357Baseline 000.9774NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality6230.9700Baseline 000.9775NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality00.9775NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1%1,2130.9721NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1%3,1920.9646Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.9770NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3%0.9575MatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3%0.9575MatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3%0.9575	Mortality (Original impact) (no. of birds)Rate (Annual GR)Median CPGRwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm ImpactsBaseline00.97701.0000NatureScot 40% displaceme nt, 5% mortality3360.95220.9747NatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality5600.93570.9579Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality00.97741.0000NatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality6230.97000.9931Baseline 000.97751.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality00.97751.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality00.97751.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality0.96460.9876Baseline 000.97701.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3%3,1920.96460.9876Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97701.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97701.0000MatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.97701.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.95750.9802	Wortality (Original Impact) inds)Rate (Annual GR)Median CPGRMedian CPSwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm Impacts00.97701.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3360.95220.97470.5136NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality5600.93570.95790.3265NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality5600.97741.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97741.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97751.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.97751.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.97701.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3.1920.96460.98760.7231Seeline 0 0 MatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97701.00001.0000NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.95750.98020.5945Baseline 0 0 0 MatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality0.95750.98020.5945	Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)Kate (Annual Impact) GR)Median CPGRMedian CPSReduction in Growth Rate (%)wick Bank Offshore Wind Farm ImpactsBaseline00.97701.00001.0000N/ANatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5%0.95220.97470.51362.53%NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5%0.93570.95790.32654.21%NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5%0.97741.00001.0000N/ANatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97751.00001.0000N/ANatureScot displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.97751.00001.0000N/ANatureScot displaceme nt, 3% mortality1,2130.97751.00001.0000N/ANatureScot displaceme nt, 3% mortality1,2130.97710.98360.88480.47%Provach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality1,2130.97701.00001.0000N/ANatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality3,1920.96460.98760.72311.24%Baseline displaceme nt, 3% mortality2630.95750.98020.59451.98%Baseline displaceme nt, 3% mortality2630.95750.98020.59451.98%	Wortality (Original indexet) indexet)Kate (Annual GR)Median CPGRMedian CPSReduction in Growth Rate (%)Reduction in population Size (%)vick Bank Offshore Wind Farm ImpactsBaseline00.97701.0000N/AN/ANatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality00.95220.97470.51362.53%48.64%NatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality5600.93570.95790.32654.21%67.35%NatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5%00.97741.00001.0000N/AN/ANatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5%00.97741.00001.0000N/AN/ANatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 5%00.97751.00001.0000N/AN/ANatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality099310.83580.69%16.42%Baseline 60% displaceme nt, 3%0.97751.00001.0000N/AN/ANatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality0.96460.98760.72311.24%27.69%NatureScot 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality00.96750.98020.59451.98%40.55%NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality000.000N/AN/ANatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3%00.98750.98020.59451.98%40.55%	Mortality Impact) (no. of birds) Reduc (Annual GR) (no. of birds) Median (PGR Median (PS Reduction in Growth Rate (%) U=50 %/ in Population Size (%) wick Bank Off-shore Wind Farm Impacts Income (N) 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A N/A Baseline 0 0.9770 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A N/A NatureScot Approach- 60% 336 0.9522 0.9747 0.5136 2.53% 48.64% 4.4 NatureScot Approach- 60% 560 0.9357 0.9579 0.3265 4.21% 67.35% 0.28 Mortality 0 0.9774 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A N/A Baseline 0 0.9774 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A N/A MatureScot Approach- 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality 0.9775 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A N/A NatureScot Approach- 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality 3.192 0.9646 0.9876 0.7231 1.24% 27.69% 20.64 Stateseline



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densit	Quantiles				
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	439	0.9446	0.9670	0.4173	3.30%	58.27%	1.4	99.32
Non-	Baseline	0	0.9774	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	597	0.9702	0.9934	0.8419	0.66%	15.81%	33.72	66.28
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9775	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,034	0.9728	0.9960	0.9009	0.40%	9.91%	40.36	60.20
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	2,752	0.9662	0.9893	0.7565	1.07%	24.35%	24.56	75.56

4.1.3. PUFFIN

39. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the puffin UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.3. The baseline 'unimpacted' is also shown for comparison purposes.

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density	/-indepei	ndence (afte	er 25 years)	Quantiles		
		Impact) (no. of birds)		Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U	
With Ber	wick Bank Offs	shore Wind F	arm Impa	cts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	774	0.9743	0.9941	0.8570	0.59%	14.30%	35.96	63.04	
Without I	Berwick Bank (Offshore Wir	nd Farm Im	pacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	638	0.9754	0.9951	0.8805	0.49%	11.95%	38	60.48	

4.1.4. GANNET

40. gannet UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.4. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.



The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the

Table 4.4:Gannet 25 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Growth Density-Independence (after 25 years) Rate					
	vick Bank Offsh	(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Berw	vick Bank Offsh	nore Wind Fa	rm Impacts	-					
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,662	1.0072	0.9954	0.8863	0.46%	11.37%	28.28	71.44
Post-	Baseline	0	1.0124	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,052.48	1.0067	0.9949	0.8753	0.51%	12.47%	29.84	72.16
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,218	1.0059	0.9941	0.8571	0.59%	14.29%	27.00	74.96
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,966.19	1.0064	0.9945	0.8669	0.55%	13.31%	24.84	74.96
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	1.0052	0.9933	0.8403	0.67%	15.97%	20.52	79.40
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	3,249	1.0027	0.9909	0.7894	0.91%	21.06%	13.72	87.32

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densit	25 years)	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	l=50 %U
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	1.0051	0.9933	0.8402	0.67%	15.98%	20.56	79.40
Without B	erwick Bank O	ffshore Wind	Farm Impac	ts		1			
Post-	Baseline	0	1.0124	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,169	1.0061	0.9943	0.8623	0.57%	13.77%	27.60	73.96
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,774.78	1.0069	0.9951	0.8791	0.49%	12.09%	26.88	73.04
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0058	0.9940	0.8549	0.60%	14.51%	22.92	77.00
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	2,992	1.0035	0.9917	0.8043	0.83%	19.57%	15.20	85.00
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0058	0.9940	0.8549	0.60%	14.51%	23.04	76.96



4.1.5. KITTIWAKE

41. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the kittiwake UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.5. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.5: Kittiwake 25 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	25 years)	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Of	shore Wind	Farm Impacts	5			1		
Pre-	Baseline	0	0.9953	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance	1,020.62	0.9917	0.9968	0.9198	0.32%	8.02%	44.56	55.68
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,225	0.9911	0.9961	0.9046	0.39%	9.54%	43.48	56.72
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,634	0.9898	0.9949	0.8745	0.51%	12.55%	41.48	59.20
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,225	0.9911	0.9961	0.9043	0.39%	9.57%	43.72	56.68
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9949	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,514.44	0.9824	0.9871	0.7133	1.29%	28.67%	27.00	74.24
	NatureScot Approach – 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	566	0.9905	0.9952	0.8820	0.48%	11.80%	40.52	58.72

Season	Scenario	Predicted C Mortality R (Original (Growth Rate	Density	/-Independ	25 years)	Quar	ntiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,703	0.9808	0.9855	0.6838	1.45%	31.62%	24.40	76.40
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	2,080	0.9776	0.9823	0.6282	1.77%	37.18%	19.92	80.52
	Applicant's Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1%	1,703	0.9808	0.9855	0.6841	1.45%	31.59%	24.40	76.40
^o ost- preeding	Baseline NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3%	0 1,781	0.9951 0.9907	1.0000 0.9956	1.0000 0.8920	N/A 0.44%	N/A 10.80%	N/A 42.8	N/A 57.36
Annual	mortality Baseline NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance	0 3,572.30	0.9953 0.9862	1.0000 0.9912	1.0000 0.7947	N/A 0.88%	N/A 20.53%	N/A 35.56	N/A 65.08
	NatureScot Approach - 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,923	0.9902	0.9953	0.8841	0.47%	11.59%	42.24	58.40
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9847	0.9896	0.7627	1.04%	23.73%	32.88	67.44



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	y-Independ	25 years)	Quar	ntiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	5,495	0.9815	0.9865	0.7015	1.35%	29.85%	27.92	71.72
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9846	0.9896	0.7628	1.04%	23.72%	32.92	67.52
Without I	Berwick Bank	Offshore Wi	nd Farm Impa	acts					
Pre-	Baseline	0	0.9953	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9918	0.9968	0.9210	0.32%	7.90%	44.60	55.76
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,331	0.9907	0.9958	0.8967	0.42%	10.33%	43.16	57.20
	Applicants Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9918	0.9968	0.9210	0.32%	7.90%	44.84	55.64
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9949	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate	897.44	0.9876	0.9924	0.8193	0.76%	18.07%	35.52	64.20

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	Density-Independence (after 25 years)				ntiles
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9865	0.9913	0.7965	0.87%	20.35%	33.60	66.36
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,273	0.9845	0.9892	0.7532	1.08%	24.68%	30.24	70.84
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9866	0.9913	0.7965	0.87%	20.35%	33.52	66.16
Post-	Baseline	0	0 0051	1 0000	1 0000	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,490	0.9913	0.9963	0.9089	0.37%	9.11%	43.88	56.44
Annual	Baseline	0	0 9949	1 0000	1 0000	N/A	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	N/A
, anda	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	2,586.30	0.9886	0.9936	0.8469	0.64%	15.31%	39.68	60.68
	NatureScot Approach- 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,508	0.9912	0.9963	0.9078	0.37%	9.22%	43.92	56.52
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9873	0.9924	0.8200	0.76%	18.00%	37.28	62.88



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	Growth Rate	Density	Quai	Quantiles			
			GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	4,094	0.9849	0.9899	0.7683	1.01%	23.17%	33.52	67.00
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9873	0.9924	0.8200	0.76%	18.00%	37.28	62.88

4.1.6. HERRING GULL

42. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the herring gull UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for a 25 year timespan are presented in Table 4.6. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.6: Herring Gull 25 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	y-Indepe	ndence (afte	Quar	ntiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annuar GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Off	shore Wind	Farm Impa	acts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9501	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.994 avoidance rate	64.4	0.9445	0.9943	0.86206	0.57%	13.79%	37.2	63.88

4.2. RESULTS: AFTER 35 YEARS

4.2.1. GUILLEMOT

- 43. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the guillemot UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.7. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- 44. (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.1 for the breeding season, Figure 4.4 for the non-breeding season and Figure 4.7 for the annual projection. CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.2 for the breeding season, Figure 4.5 for the non-breeding season and Figure 4.8 annually. Figure 4.3, Figure 4.6 and Figure 4.9 show the CPS values for the breeding, non-breeding season and for also for annually.
- Note that due to window width, impact scenarios had to be abbreviated to ensure the graphs could be 45. clearly read. As such the following impact scenarios have been abbreviated in the figure headings:
 - breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - _ Statutory Nature Conservation Body (SNCB) Low with BB; and
 - SNCB High with BB.
 - non-breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - SNCB Low with BB; and
 - _ SNCB High with BB.
 - annual with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - _ SNCB Low with BB:
 - SNCB High with BB; and
 - breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 5% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High without BB.
 - non-breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - _ BB
 - annual without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - = SNCB Low without BB; and
 - = SNCB High without BB.



As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm =

Applicant's Approach - 50% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank = Applicant with BB.

NatureScot Approach – 60% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank = SNCB High without

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm

NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm

Table 4.7:Guillemot 35 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densi	ty-Indeper	r 35 years)	Qua	ntiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Berw	ick Bank Offsh	ore Wind Farr	n Impacts	4.000	4.000	N 1/A	N 1 / A		N 1/A
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	2,406	0.9915	0.9670	0.2986	3.30%	70.14%	0	100
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	4,010	0.9689	0.9450	0.1303	5.50%	86.97%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	2,395	1.0224	0.9972	0.9042	0.28%	9.58%	32.92	69.40
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	7,184	1.0167	0.9916	0.7385	0.84%	26.15%	8.32	92.68
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	4,801	1.0195	0.9944	0.8169	0.56%	18.31%	17.72	83.92
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	11,194	1.0119	0.9869	0.6229	1.31%	37.71%	1.52	98.92
	Applicant's Approach - 50% displacement, 1% mortality	2,664	1.0221	0.9969	0.8940	0.31%	10.60%	31.04	71.24
Without Be	rwick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	1,786	1.0001	0.9755	0.4092	2.45%	59.08%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	6,389	1.0171	0.9920	0.7494	0.80%	25.06%	9.00	92.00

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Growth Density-Independence (after 35 years) Rate					Quantiles	
		(original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U	
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
, unical	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	3,201	1.0214	0.9963	0.8740	0.37%	12.60%	27.56	74.76	
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	8,175	1.0155	0.9905	0.7081	0.95%	29.19%	5.04	95.28	









20	80	2	090



Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Guillemot Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.2





The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.3





Guillemot Population Projection over 35 years during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.4











Figure 4.6 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population during the Non-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.7 Annual Guillemot Population Projection over 35 years under a Range of Impact Scenarios











Figure 4.9 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Guillemot Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



4.2.2. RAZORBILL

- 46. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the razorbill UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.8. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project 47. (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.10 for the breeding season, Figure 4.13 for the non-breeding season and Figure 4.16 for the annual projection. CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.11 for the breeding season Figure 4.14 for the non-breeding season and Figure 4.17 annually. Figure 4.12, Figure 4.15 and Figure 4.18 show the CPS values for the breeding, nonbreeding season and for annually also.
- 48. Note that due to window width, impact scenarios had to be abbreviated to ensure the graphs could be clearly read. As such the following impact scenarios have been abbreviated in the figure headings:
 - breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank = SNCB Low with BB; _ and
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 5% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High with BB.
 - non-breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = _ SNCB High with BB.
 - annual with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = _ SNCB Low with BB; and
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High with BB.
 - breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm: •
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB Low without BB; and
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 5% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High without BB.
 - non-breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm: •
 - _ NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High without BB.
 - annual without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB Low without BB.
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High without BB.

eason	son Scenario Predicted Growth Density-Independence (after 35 years) Mortality Rate (Original (Annual						35 years)	Quai	ntiles
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
ith Berv	wick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts						
eeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	336	0.9520	0.9747	0.3973	2.53%	60.27%	1.76	99.04
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	560	0.9354	0.9578	0.2119	4.22%	78.81%	0	100
on-	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
eeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	623	0.9702	0.9931	0.7800	0.69%	22.00%	27.40	72.40
nual	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	1,213	0.9724	0.9953	0.8437	0.47%	15.63%	34.16	65.64
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	3,192	0.9649	0.9876	0.6382	1.24%	36.18%	14.60	85.64
ithout E	Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind	Farm Impa	cts		1	Less	1	
eeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	263	0.9574	0.9802	0.4865	1.98%	51.35%	4.48	96.32
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	439	0.9445	0.9669	0.2981	3.31%	70.19%	0.32	99.84
on-	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
eeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	597	0.9711	0.9942	0.8093	0.58%	19.07%	31.04	68.36

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Dens	ity-Indepen	35 years)	Quai	ntiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	336	0.9520	0.9747	0.3973	2.53%	60.27%	1.76	99.04
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	560	0.9354	0.9578	0.2119	4.22%	78.81%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	623	0.9702	0.9931	0.7800	0.69%	22.00%	27.40	72.40
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	1,213	0.9724	0.9953	0.8437	0.47%	15.63%	34.16	65.64
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	3,192	0.9649	0.9876	0.6382	1.24%	36.18%	14.60	85.64
Without E	Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind	Farm Impa	cts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	263	0.9574	0.9802	0.4865	1.98%	51.35%	4.48	96.32
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	439	0.9445	0.9669	0.2981	3.31%	70.19%	0.32	99.84
Non-	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	597	0.9711	0.9942	0.8093	0.58%	19.07%	31.04	68.36
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
						1.			



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Dens	ity-Independ	lence (after	35 years)	Quantiles	
		(original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	1,034	0.9730	0.9960	0.8651	0.40%	13.49%	36.40	63.28
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	2,752	0.9665	0.9893	0.6789	1.07%	32.11%	18.04	82.16





Figure 4.10 Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Razorbill Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.11





Figure 4.12 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years during the Non-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.13











Figure 4.15 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population during the Non-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios




Figure 4.16 Annual Razorbill Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.17 Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Razorbill Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.18 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Razorbill Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



4.2.3. PUFFIN

- 49. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the razorbill UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.9. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- 50. As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.19 for the breeding season. CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.20 for the breeding season and Figure 4.21 shows the CPS values for the breeding season.
- 51. Note that due to window width, impact scenarios had to be abbreviated to ensure the graphs could be clearly read. As such the following impact scenarios have been abbreviated in the figure headings:
 - breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 5% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High with BB.
 - breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 60% displacement, 5% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = SNCB High without BB.

Table 4.9: Puffin 35 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	/-Indepe	ndence (afte	er 35 years)	Quantiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Ber	wick Bank Offs	shore Wind F	arm Impa	cts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	774	0.9740	0.9941	0.8070	0.59%	19.30%	34.96	67
Without I	Berwick Bank (Offshore Wir	nd Farm Im	pacts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	638	0.9750	0.9951	0.8382	0.49%	16.18%	38	64.04





Figure 4.19 Puffin Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.20





Figure 4.21 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Puffin Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



4.2.4. GANNET

- 52. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the gannet UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.10. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- 53. As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.22 for the breeding season, Figure 4.25 for the post-breeding season and Figure 4.28 for the annual projection. CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.23 for the breeding season Figure 4.26 for the post-breeding season and Figure 4.29 annually. Figure 4.24, Figure 4.27 and Figure 4.30 show the CPS values for the breeding, post-breeding season and for annually also.
- 54. Note that due to window width, impact scenarios had to be abbreviated to ensure the graphs could be clearly read. As such the following impact scenarios have been abbreviated in the figure headings:
 - breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB.
 - post-breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Collision SNCB with BB.
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB.
 - annual with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB; and
 - Applicant's Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant with BB.
 - post-breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB.
 - annual without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB without BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low without BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB; and
 - Applicant's Approach 0.993 avoidance, 70% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant without BB.

Driginal npact) no. of irds) re Wind Fa	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median				
re Wind Fa			CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	rm Impacts						
	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
662	1.0074	0.9954	0.8457	0.46%	15.43%	23.76	77.68
	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
052.48	1.0069	0.9949	0.8311	0.51%	16.89%	22.16	77.36
218	1.0061	0.9941	0.8074	0.59%	19.26%	19.72	81.36
	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
966.19	1.0066	0.9945	0.8200	0.55%	18.00%	20.76	81.68
349	1.0054	0.9933	0.7854	0.67%	21.46%	15.80	86.12
249	1.0029	0.9909	0.7202	0.91%	27.98%	9.64	92.80
394	1.0054	0.9933	0.7853	0.67%	21.47%	15.88	86.16
	e Wind Fa	e Wind Farm Impacts 1.0120 i62 1.0074 1.0120 i62 1.0120 i52.48 1.0069 218 1.0061 1.0120 1.0120 i66.19 1.0066 349 1.0054 249 1.0029 394 1.0054	• Wind Farm Impacts 1.0120 1.0000 162 1.0074 0.9954 1.0120 1.0000 1.0120 1.0000 152.48 1.0069 0.9949 218 1.0061 0.9941 1.0120 1.0000 266.19 1.0066 0.9945 349 1.0054 0.9933 249 1.0029 0.9909 394 1.0054 0.9933	• Wind Farm Impacts 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 162 1.0074 0.9954 0.8457 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 152.48 1.0069 0.9949 0.8311 218 1.0061 0.9941 0.8074 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 366.19 1.0066 0.9945 0.8200 349 1.0054 0.9933 0.7854 249 1.0029 0.9909 0.7202 394 1.0054 0.9933 0.7853	e Wind Farm Impacts 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A i62 1.0074 0.9954 0.8457 0.46% 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A 152.48 1.0069 0.9949 0.8311 0.51% 218 1.0061 0.9941 0.8074 0.59% 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A i66.19 1.0066 0.9945 0.8200 0.55% 349 1.0054 0.9933 0.7854 0.67% 349 1.0029 0.9909 0.7202 0.91% 394 1.0054 0.9933 0.7853 0.67%	a Wind Farm Impacts I.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A 162 1.0074 0.9954 0.8457 0.46% 15.43% 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A 1.0120 1.0000 0.8311 0.51% 16.89% 218 1.0061 0.9941 0.8074 0.59% 19.26% 1.0120 1.0000 1.0000 N/A N/A 1.0056 0.9945 0.8200 0.55% 18.00% 349 1.0054 0.9933 0.7854 0.67% 21.46% 394 1.0054 0.9933 0.7853 0.67% 21.47%	Note of the second sec



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	35 years)	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annuai GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
Post-	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,169	1.0063	0.9943	0.8142	0.57%	18.58%	20.56	79.96
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,774.78	1.0071	0.9950	0.8362	0.50%	16.38%	22.56	79.16
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0060	0.9940	0.8044	0.60%	19.56%	18.56	84.28
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	2,992	1.0036	0.9916	0.7392	0.84%	26.08%	11.08	90.88
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0060	0.9940	0.8043	0.60%	19.57%	18.44	84.16





Figure 4.22 Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios



В				
	00	00	0	000
	20	00	2	090



Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Gannet Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.23



th BB		



Figure 4.24 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



th BB			



Figure 4.25 Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios



_				
В				
RR				
				_
				_
				_
				_
			-	
	20	80	2	090









Figure 4.27 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population during the Post-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.28 Annual Gannet Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



				_
_				
_				
				_
3				
<u> </u>				
_				
	20	80	2	090
	20	00	-	000









Figure 4.30 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Gannet Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



4.2.5. KITTIWAKE

- 55. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the kittiwake UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.11. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project 56. (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.31 for the prebreeding season, Figure 4.34 for the breeding season, Figure 4.37 for the post-breeding season and Figure 4.40 for the annual projection. CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.32 for the pre-breeding season, Figure 4.35 for the breeding season, Figure 4.38 for the post-breeding season and Figure 4.41 annually. Figure 4.33, Figure 4.36, Figure 4.39 and Figure 4.42 show the CPS values for the pre-breeding, breeding, postbreeding season and for annually also.
- 57. Note that due to window width, impact scenarios had to be abbreviated to ensure the graphs could be clearly read. As such the following impact scenarios have been abbreviated in the figure headings:
 - pre-breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB; and
 - Applicant's Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant with BB.
 - breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Displacement SNCB High with BB:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB; and
 - Applicant's Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant with BB.
 - post-breeding season with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB.
 - annual with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Displacement SNCB High with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low with BB;
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High with BB; and
 - Applicant's Approach 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality with Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant with BB.

- pre-breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - _ Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low without BB;
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB; and
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant without BB.
- breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB without BB;
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low without BB;
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB; and
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant without BB.
- post-breeding season without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB.
- annual without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm:
 - NatureScot Approach 0.993 avoidance = Collision SNCB without BB:
 - = Displacement SNCB High without BB:
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB Low without BB;
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined SNCB High without BB; and
 - Offshore Wind Farm = Combined Applicant without BB.



NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank

Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank

NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank

NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank

Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank

NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank

NatureScot Approach – 30% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm

NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank

NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 3% mortality without Berwick Bank

Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance, 30% displacement, 1% mortality without Berwick Bank

Table 4.11: Kittiwake 35 Year PVA Results

Season	eason Scenario Predicted Growth Density-Independence (after 35 years) Mortality Rate					Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Bery	wick Bank Of	fshore Wind	Farm Impacts	5	4 0000	NI/A	N1/A	NI/A	N1/A
Pre- brooding	Baseline	0	0.9990	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	Approach – 0.993 avoidance	1,020.02	0.9918	0.9906	0.8906	0.32%	10.94%	42.92	50.52
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,225	0.9912	0.9961	0.8703	0.39%	12.97%	41.40	57.92
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,634	0.9899	0.9949	0.8307	0.51%	16.93%	38.76	60.44
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,225	0.9912	0.9961	0.8702	0.39%	12.98%	41.16	57.88
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9987	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,514.40	0.9823	0.9871	0.6262	1.29%	37.38%	21.76	77.56
	NatureScot Approach – 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	566	0.9902	0.9952	0.8404	0.48%	15.96%	39.36	61.24
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,703	0.9807	0.9855	0.5910	1.45%	40.90%	19.52	80.48

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densit	y-Independe	35 years)	Quantiles		
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	2,080	0.9774	0.9823	0.5254	1.77%	47.46%	15.40	85.24
	Applicant's Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1%	1,703	0.9806	0.9855	0.5910	1.45%	40.90%	19.56	80.56
Post-	Baseline	0	0.9955	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,781	0.9907	0.9956	0.8536	0.44%	14.64%	40.2	58.76
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9990	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate	3,572.30	0.9863	0.9912	0.7275	0.88%	27.25%	30.92	67.64
	NatureScot Approach - 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,923	0.9903	0.9953	0.8431	0.47%	15.69%	39.48	59.80
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9847	0.9896	0.6872	1.04%	31.28%	28.12	70.60
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	5,495	0.9816	0.9865	0.6122	1.35%	38.78%	22.92	76.64



Season	on Scenario Predicted Growth Density-Independence (after 35 years) Mortality Rate					Quar	Quantiles		
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9847	0.9896	0.6872	1.04%	31.28%	28.08	70.60
Without I	Berwick Bank	Offshore Wi	nd Farm Impa	acts					
Pre-	Baseline	0	0.9990	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9919	0.9968	0.8921	0.32%	10.79%	43.04	56.20
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,331	0.9909	0.9958	0.8598	0.42%	14.02%	40.68	58.52
	Applicants Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9919	0.9968	0.8923	0.32%	10.77%	43.24	56.20
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9987	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate	897.44	0.9875	0.9924	0.7587	0.76%	24.13%	33.00	68.00
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9863	0.9913	0.7298	0.87%	27.02%	30.04	70.36

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Densit	y-Independ	Quantiles			
				Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,273	0.9843	0.9892	0.6754	1.08%	32.46%	26.00	74.20
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9864	0.9913	0.7301	0.87%	26.99%	30.12	70.32
Post-	Baseline	0	0.9955	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
preeding	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,490	0.9914	0.9963	0.8763	0.37%	12.37%	42.20	57.44
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9990	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	2,586.30	0.9888	0.9936	0.7947	0.64%	20.53%	36.32	63.00
	NatureScot Approach- 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	1,508	0.9914	0.9963	0.8747	0.37%	12.53%	41.88	57.72
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9875	0.9924	0.7598	0.76%	24.02%	33.60	65.36
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	4,094	0.9850	0.9899	0.6944	1.01%	30.56%	28.68	70.24



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density-Independence (after 35 years)				Quantiles	
				Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9875	0.9924	0.7598	0.76%	24.02%	33.12	68.00





Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Pre-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.31





Figure 4.32 Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population during the Pre-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.33 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population during the Pre-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.34 Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios



				_
				-
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
•				
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
B				
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
3				
				_
				_
	20	80	2	nan
	20	00	2	000



Figure 4.35 Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios











Figure 4.37 Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years during the Post-breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios











Figure 4.39 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population during the Post-breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.40 Annual Kittiwake Population Projection over 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



				_
				_
				_
R				
Ь				
				_
3				
BB	20	80	2	0.00
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090
BB	20	80	2	090



Figure 4.41 Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Kittiwake Population Annually under a Range of Impact Scenarios





Figure 4.42 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Kittiwake Population Annually from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenario



4.2.6. HERRING GULL

- 58. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the herring gull UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance (2039) and for the expected lifespan of the Array (35 years) are presented in Table 4.12. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.
- 59. As part of NatureScot guidance (2023b), impact scenario graphs for the expected lifespan of the project (35 years) are to be presented. As such the population size graphs are shown in Figure 4.43 for the breeding season, CPGR graphs are shown in Figure 4.44 for the breeding season and Figure 4.45 shows the CPS values for the breeding season (all 'with' Berwick Bank Offshore Wind Farm).

Table 4.12: Herring Gull 35 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density-Independence (after 35 years)				Quantiles	
				Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank O	ffshore Wine	d Farm Imp	oacts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9497	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.994 avoidance rate	64.4	0.9443	0.9943	0.8153	0.57%	18.47%	33.32	67.2





Figure 4.43 Herring Gull Population Projection over 35 Years during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios



2080						


Ratio of Impacted Growth Rates after 35 Years for the Herring Gull Population during the Breeding Season under a Range of Impact Scenarios Figure 4.44





Figure 4.45 The Ratio of the Median Impacted Population Sizes for the Herring Gull Population during the Breeding Season from the Simulations after 35 Years under a Range of Impact Scenarios



4.3. RESULTS: AFTER 50 YEARS

4.3.1. GUILLEMOT

60. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the guillemot UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.13. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Guillemot 50 Year PVA Results Table 4.13:

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Dens	ity-Indepe	ndence (after	50 years)	Qua	ntiles
		(original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Ber	wick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	2,406	0.9913	0.9669	0.1799	3.31%	82.01%	0	100
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	4,010	0.9687	0.9449	0.0555	5.51%	94.45%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	2,395	1.0223	0.9972	0.8668	0.28%	13.32%	28.12	73.56
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	7,184	1.0165	0.9916	0.6505	0.84%	34.95%	4.28	96.92
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	4,801	1.0194	0.9944	0.7505	0.56%	24.95%	12.32	88.72
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	11,194	1.0117	0.9869	0.5109	1.31%	48.91%	0.36	99.88

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densi	50 years)	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	Applicant's Approach - 50% displacement, 1% mortality	2,664	1.0220	0.9969	0.8529	0.31%	14.71%	26.04	75.68
Without E	Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind	Farm Impa	cts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	1,786	1.0000	0.9754	0.2814	2.46%	71.86%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	6,839	1.0170	0.9920	0.6641	0.80%	33.59%	4.80	96.08
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0253	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 1% mortality	3,201	1.0213	0.9963	0.8260	0.37%	17.40%	22.28	80.04
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 3% mortality	8,175	1.0153	0.9904	0.6128	0.96%	38.72%	2.52	98.52

4.3.2. RAZORBILL

61. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the razorbill UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.14. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.



Table 4.14: Razorbill 50 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicte d	Growth Rate	Densit	Quai	ntiles			
		Mortalit y (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Ber	wick Bank O	ffshore Win	d Farm Impa	cts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	336	0.9519	0.9747	0.2701	2.53%	72.99%	0.4	99.84
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	560	0.9353	0.9577	0.1103	4.23%	88.97%	0	100
Non-	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding Season	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	623	0.9702	0.9931	0.7029	0.69%	29.71%	23.32	77.44
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,213	0.9724	0.9953	0.7859	0.47%	21.41%	30.72	70.20
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	3,192	0.9649	0.9876	0.5289	1.24%	47.11%	10.28	90.72
Without I	Berwick Ban	k Offshore	Wind Farm Im	pacts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Season	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	263	0.9573	0.9802	0.3596	1.98%	64.04%	2.04	98.76
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 5% mortality	439	0.9442	0.9669	0.1796	3.31%	82.04%	0	100
	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Season	Scenario	Predicte d	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density	y-Independe	i0 years)	Quantiles		
		y (Original Impact) (no. of birds)		Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reductio n in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
Non- breeding Season	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	597	0.9705	0.9934	0.7130	0.66%	28.70%	24.36	76.96
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9768	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 1% mortality	1,034	0.9731	0.9960	0.8140	0.40%	18.60%	33.84	67.56
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displaceme nt, 3% mortality	2,752	0.9665	0.9893	0.5774	1.07%	42.26%	13.64	87.80

4.3.3. PUFFIN

62. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the puffin UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.15. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.15:	Puffin 50 Year PVA Results
-------------	----------------------------

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original Impact) (no. of birds)	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density	/-Indepei	ndence (afte	er 50 years)	Quantiles		
				Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U	
With Berv	wick Bank Offs	shore Wind F	arm Impac	cts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	774	0.9738	0.9941	0.7381	0.59%	26.19%	31.28	70.24	
Without E	Berwick Bank (Offshore Win	d Farm Im	pacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9801	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	/-Indepei	ndence (afte	er 50 years)	Quantiles		
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U	
	NatureScot Approach - 60% displacement, 5% mortality	638	0.9748	0.9951	0.7786	0.49%	22.14%	34.4	67	

4.3.4. GANNET

63. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the gannet UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.16. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.16: Gannet 50 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality (Original	Growth Rate	Densi	ity-Indepo	er 50 years)	Quantiles		
		Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts						
Breeding	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,662	1.0073	0.9953	0.7883	0.47%	21.17%	19.00	82.16
Post-	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,052.40	1.0069	0.9949	0.7691	0.51%	23.09%	17.48	83.00
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,218	1.0061	0.9941	0.7381	0.59%	26.19%	14.24	86.36
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Densi	ity-Indepo	endence (aft	er 50 years)	Quantiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,966.19	1.0065	0.9945	0.7546	0.55%	24.54%	14.56	86.28
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	1.0053	0.9933	0.7097	0.67%	29.03%	10.52	90.44
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	3,249	1.0029	0.9909	0.6276	0.91%	37.24%	4.88	96.24
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,394	1.0053	0.9933	0.7096	0.67%	29.04%	10.52	90.40
Without E	Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind	Farm Impa	cts		1		1	
Post-	Baseline	0	1.0121	1.000	1.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding Season	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	1,169	1.0063	0.9943	0.7471	0.57%	25.29%	14.88	85.56
Annual	Baseline	0	1.0120	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	1,774.78	1.0070	0.9950	0.7756	0.50%	22.44%	17.48	83.32
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0060	0.9940	0.7341	0.60%	26.59%	12.60	87.88



Season	Scenario	rio Predicted Mortality (Original		Density-Independence (after 50 years)				Quantiles	
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	2,992	1.0036	0.9916	0.6511	0.84%	34.89%	6.16	94.80
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	2,157	1.0059	0.9940	0.7342	0.60%	26.58%	12.56	87.80

4.3.5. KITTIWAKE

64. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the kittiwake UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.17. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.17: Kittiwake 50 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density	Quar	ntiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)		Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Berv	wick Bank Offs	hore Wind Fa	rm Impacts	;					
Pre-	Baseline	0	0.9988	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot	1,020.62	0.9919	0.9968	0.8488	0.32%	15.12%	39.80	58.72
	Approach –								
	0.993								
	avoidance								
	NatureScot	1,225	0.9913	0.9961	0.8212	0.39%	17.88%	37.84	60.72
	Approach -								
	0.993								
	avoidance								
	rate, 30%								
	displacement,								
	1% mortality								

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	Quantiles				
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,634	0.9900	0.9949	0.7686	0.51%	23.14%	35.36	63.92
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,225	0.9912	0.9961	0.8212	0.39%	17.88%	38.12	60.80
Breeding	Baseline NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance	0 1,514.44	0.9984 0.9822	1.0000 0.9871	1.0000 0.5151	N/A 1.29%	N/A 48.49%	N/A 18.52	N/A 83.64
	rate NatureScot Approach – 30% displacement, 3% mortality	566	0.9902	0.9952	0.7816	0.48%	21.84%	36.52	64.00
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,703	0.9806	0.9855	0.4744	1.45%	52.56%	16.20	86.52
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	2,080	0.9774	0.9823	0.4016	1.77%	59.84%	10.84	91.40
	Applicant's Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1%	1,703	0.9805	0.9855	0.4745	1.45%	52.55%	16.12	86.48
Post-	Baseline	0	0.9954	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,781	0.9908	0.9956	0.7991	0.44%	20.09%	36.96	62.24



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	y-Independ	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9988	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate	3,572.30	0.9863	0.9912	0.6373	0.88%	36.27%	27.20	72.16
	NatureScot Approach - 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,923	0.9904	0.9953	0.7850	0.47%	21.50%	36.20	63.00
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9847	0.9896	0.5877	1.04%	41.23%	23.88	75.76
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	5,495	0.9816	0.9865	0.4990	1.35%	50.10%	17.84	82.00
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	4,213	0.9848	0.9896	0.5878	1.04%	41.22%	24.08	75.84
Without E	Berwick Bank C	Offshore Wind	Farm Impa	acts		1	1		
Pre-	Baseline	0	0.9988	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
preeding	Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9919	0.9968	0.8508	0.32%	14.92%	39.96	38.76
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,331	0.9909	0.9958	0.8071	0.42%	19.29%	37.40	61.72

Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate	Density	Quantiles				
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annual GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	Applicants Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,005	0.9920	0.9968	0.8508	0.32%	14.92%	39.96	58.56
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9984	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate	897.44	0.9875	0.9924	0.6761	0.76%	32.39%	29.32	71.40
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9863	0.9913	0.6398	0.87%	36.02%	26.68	74.36
	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,273	0.9842	0.9892	0.5736	1.08%	42.64%	22.24	79.72
	Applicant's Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 1% mortality	1,023	0.9863	0.9913	0.6399	0.87%	36.01%	26.64	74.40
Post-	Baseline	0	0.9954	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breeding	NatureScot Approach - 0.993 avoidance rate, 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,490	0.9914	0.9963	0.8292	0.37%	17.08%	38.76	59.92
Annual	Baseline	0	0.9988	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate	2,586.30	0.9888	0.9936	0.7220	0.64%	27.80%	32.84	66.68
	NatureScot Approach- 30% displacement, 3% mortality	1,508	0.9914	0.9963	0.8272	0.37%	17.28%	38.32	60.04



Season	Scenario	Predicted Mortality	Growth Rate (Annual GR)	Density	/-Independ	Quantiles			
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)		Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %I	I=50 %U
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9875	0.9924	0.6776	0.76%	32.24%	29.72	69.48
	NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 3% mortality	4,090	0.9851	0.9899	0.5964	1.01%	40.36%	24.40	74.96
	Applicant's Approach - NatureScot Approach – 0.993 avoidance rate, 70% displacement, 1% mortality	3,089	0.9875	0.9924	0.6775	0.76%	32.25%	29.48	71.28

4.3.6. HERRING GULL

65. The results of the PVA runs for impacts from the Array cumulatively with other offshore wind farms to the herring gull UK BDMPS at the start of the operation and maintenance phase (2039) and for 50 years at the request of NatureScot (2023b) are presented in Table 4.18. The baseline 'unimpacted' scenario is also shown for comparison purposes.

Table 4.18: Herring Gull 50 Year PVA Results

Season	Scenario	cenario Predicted Mortality		Density	/-Indepe	ndence (afte	Quantiles		
		(Original Impact) (no. of birds)	(Annuar GR)	Median CPGR	Median CPS	Reduction in Growth Rate (%)	Reduction in Population Size (%)	U=50 %l	I=50 %U
With Ber	wick Bank C	ffshore Win	d Farm Im	pacts					
Breeding	Baseline	0	0.9496	1.0000	1.0000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NatureScot Approach - 0.994 avoidance rate	64.4	0.9444	0.9943	0.7479	0.57%	25.21%		



REFERENCES 5.

Cook, A.S.C.P. and Robinson, R.A. (2017). Towards a framework for guantifying the population-level consequences of anthropogenic pressures on the environment: The case of seabirds and windfarms. Journal of Environmental Management 190: 113-121.

Furness, R. W. (2015). Non-breeding season populations of seabirds in UK waters: Population sizes for Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scales (BDMPS). Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 164.

Horswill, C. and Robinson, R. (2015). Review of seabird demographic rates and density dependence. JNCC Report No: 552., Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

JNCC (2023) Seabird Monitoring Programme: JNCC. Available at: https://app.bto.org/seabirds/public/index.jsp Accessed on: 05 February 2024.

Mobbs, D., Searle, K., Daunt, F. & Butler, A. (2020). A Population Viability Analysis Modelling Tool for Seabird Species: the PVA (v2.0) interface. Available Guide for usina tool user at: https://github.com/naturalengland/Seabird PVA Tool/blob/master/Documentation/PVA Tool UI Guidance.pdf. Accessed on 08 April 2024.

Morris, W.F. and Doak, D.F. (2002). Quantitative conservation biology: theory and practice of population viability analysis. Sinauer Associates. Inc., Sunderland, MA.

Natural England (2020). A Population Viability Analysis Modelling Tool for Seabird Species. Available at: https://github.com/naturalengland/Seabird_PVA_Tool Accessed on: 05 April 2024.

Natural England (2022). Offshore Wind Marine Environmental Assessments; Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards. Phase III: Expectations for data analysis and presentation at examination for offshore wind applications. Natural England. Version 1.2. 140 pp.

NatureScot (2023a), NatureScot Guidance Note 3: Guidance to support Offshore Wind applications: Marine Birds -Identifying theoretical connectivity with breeding site Special Protection Areas using breeding season foraging ranges. Available at: https://www.nature.scot/doc/guidance-note-3-guidance-support-offshore-wind-applications-marine-birdsidentifying-theoretical. Accessed on: 18 September 2023.

NatureScot (2023b). Guidance Note 11: Guidance to support Offshore Wind Applications: Marine Ornithology -Recommendations for Seabird Population Viability Analysis (PVA). Available at: https://www.nature.scot/doc/guidancenote-11-guidance-support-offshore-wind-applications-marine-ornithology-recommendations. Accessed on: 28 March 2024.

NatureScot (2023c). Guidance Note 8: Guidance to support Offshore Wind applications: Marine Ornithology Advice for assessing the distributional responses, displacement and barrier effects of Marine birds. Available at https://www.nature.scot/doc/auidance-note-8-guidance-support-offshore-wind-applications-marine-ornithology-adviceassessing. Accessed on: 05 April 2024.

Ossian OWFL (2024). Ossian Array Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment.

R Core Team (2023). _R: A Language and Environment for StatisticalComputing_. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria Available at: https://www.R-project.org/. Accessed on: 28 March 2024.

Ridge, K., Jones, C., Jones, G. and Kean, G. (2019). Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm Examining Authority's Report of Findings and Conclusions and Recommendations to the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.

Searle, K., Mobbs, D., Daunt, F. and Butler, A. (2019). A Population Viability Analysis Modelling Tool for Seabird Species. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology report for Natural England. Natural England Commissioned Report NECR274.

Woodward, I., Thaxter, C.B., Owen, E. and Cook, A.S.C.P. (2019) Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening. BTO Report 724 for The Crown Estate.WWT Consulting (2012). SOSS-04 Gannet Population Viability Analysis: Developing guidelines on the use of Population Viability Analysis for investigating bird impacts due to offshore wind farms. Report to The Crown Estate.



Sse Renewables



openhagen Infrastructure Pa

Project Office

Ossian Offshore Wind Farm Limited Inveralmond House 200 Dunkeld Road Perth PH1 3AQ

10 A. 10

ossianwindfarm.com

